

CODEBOOK

Michigan State of the State 60  
807

Weight Variable: statewt

## CONTENTS

item		page
ID1	Case ID	1
R1	Data Record	1
cnty	County	2
regn	Region	4
listed	Sample	4
CC1	Past Financial	5
CC2	Future Financial	5
CC3	Current Financial	6
CC4	Inflation Rate	6
CC5	Unemployment Situation	7
CC6	Business Conditions	7
cook1	Income Past Three Months	8
cook1a	Percent Increased - Past 3 Months	9
cook1b	Percent Decreased - Past 3 Months	10
cook2	Income Next Three Months	11
cook2a	Percent Increase - Next 3 Months	12
cook2b	Percent Decrease - Next 3 Months	13
cook3	Have Household Budget	14
cook5	Frequency Update Budget	14
PO1	Obama Approval	15
D2	Congress Performance Approval	15
PO2	Snyder Approval	16
suscon1	Knowledge Sustainable Construction	16
suscon2	Effort Promote Sustainable Construction	17
suscon3	Importance Encourage Sustainable Construction	17
suscon4	Impact Sustainable on MI Economy	18
suscon5	Impact Sustainable Job Creation	18
suscon6	Familiarity Green Jobs	19
suscon7	Creating Green Jobs	20
suscon8	Effective: Financial Incentives	21
suscon9	Effective: Training Programs	21
suscon10	Effective: Promoting Existing Programs	22
suscon11	Effective: Revising Building Codes	22
nbsc1	Read-Heard Heel Prick Test	23
nbsc2	Read-Heard NewBorn Screening Program	23
nbsc3	Aware Left Over Blood Spots Kept	24
nbsc4	Aware Blood Spots Available Researchers	24
nbsc5	Read-Heard Michigan BioTrust for Health	25
nbsc6	Favor-Oppose Blood Spots Research	25
nbsc7	Opinion Change Nature of Research	26
nbsc8	Researchers Obtain Consent	26
CD1	Sex	26
CD2	Year of Birth	27

November 10, 2011

item		page
CD3	Education Level	29
CD5a	Ethnicity	30
CD4@a	Race - White/Caucasian	30
CD4@b	Race - African American or Black	31
CD4@c	Race - Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	31
CD4@d	Race - Asian	32
CD4@e	Race - American Indian or Alaska Native	32
CD4@f	Race Other	33
CD6	Religious Background	33
CD7@a	Political Party ID	34
CD7@b	Republican	34
CD7@c	Democrat	35
CD7@d	Independent	35
partyid	Political Party Preference	36
P17@a	Political Ideology	36
P17@b	Conservative	37
P17@c	Liberal	37
P17@d	Middle/Neither	38
ideology	Degree Liberal-Conservative	38
regvote	Registered Voter	39
planvote	Plan Register Before 2012 Election	39
CD8	Marital Status	40
CD10	Adults HH	40
CD15	Employment	41
ret7	Changed Retirement Plans	41
ret8a	Change Plans: Postponed-Delayed Retirement	42
ret8b	Change Plans: Retired Earlier	42
ret8c	Change Plans: Save More	43
ret8d	Change Plans: Change Portfolio	43
ret8e	Changed Plan: Other	44
UN1	Union Member	44
UN2	Past Union Member	45
UN3	Union Family	45
inca	More \$40,000	46
incb	Less than \$20,000	46
incca	Less than \$30,000	47
incc	Less than \$10,000	47
incd	More than \$60,000	48
incf	More than \$50,000	48
incg	More than \$100,000	49
inch	More than \$70,000	49
incha	More than \$90,000	50
inci	More than \$150,000	50
NEWIncome	R Household Income in 11 Categories (new version)	51
CD26	PHone Lines	51
X1	Type Community	52

item		page
zipcode	ZipCode	52
RI	Re-Interview	53
contacts	Contacts	53
length	Interview Length	54
idate	Interview Date	55
iwere	Interviewer	57
males	Males	58
females	Females	58
rac3	Respondent Race	59
agecat	Rs age in categories	59
adjwt	Weight adj for phones adults race gender age within regi	59
msuregn	Region code based on MSU Extension Groupings	60
msuewt	weight by MSU region	60
statewt	Weight for Statewide Estimates	60
rac3	Race 3 categories	60
AGE	Age of Respondent	61
imprace	Respondent Race with Imputation for missing data	63
sample	Sample source of Respondent	63
educat4	Respondents Level of Education	63

ID1 Case ID

807 cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 1/1-5

---

R1 Data Record

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
100.0	807	1	
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/column: 1/6

cnty	County		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.6	5	26001	
0.1	1	26003	
0.7	5	26005	
0.6	5	26007	
0.3	2	26009	
0.2	2	26011	
1.3	11	26015	
0.9	7	26017	
0.1	1	26019	
1.5	12	26021	
0.1	1	26023	
0.7	6	26025	
0.5	4	26027	
0.1	1	26029	
0.3	2	26031	
0.4	3	26033	
0.3	2	26035	
0.5	4	26037	
0.1	1	26039	
0.4	4	26041	
0.4	3	26043	
2.0	16	26045	
0.4	3	26047	
6.4	51	26049	
0.1	1	26051	
0.1	1	26053	
0.7	5	26055	
0.5	4	26057	
0.4	3	26059	
0.6	5	26061	
0.1	1	26063	
2.2	18	26065	
0.3	3	26067	
0.3	3	26069	
0.1	1	26071	
0.3	3	26073	
0.9	7	26075	
3.2	25	26077	
0.0	0	26079	
6.2	50	26081	
0.2	1	26085	
0.4	3	26087	
0.2	2	26089	
1.6	13	26091	
3.0	24	26093	

November 10, 2011

0.1	0	26097
5.6	45	26099
0.3	3	26101
0.8	6	26103
0.3	2	26105
0.1	1	26107
0.2	2	26109
0.7	6	26111
0.2	2	26113
0.8	6	26115
0.3	2	26117
0.1	1	26119
1.6	13	26121
0.9	7	26123
12.3	99	26125
0.9	7	26127
0.1	0	26129
0.3	3	26133
0.4	3	26137
1.8	15	26139
0.3	2	26141
0.1	1	26143
3.9	31	26145
1.4	11	26147
0.4	4	26149
0.3	3	26151
0.2	2	26153
0.4	4	26155
0.5	4	26157
2.0	16	26159
3.8	30	26161
18.4	148	26163
0.2	2	26165
-----	---	
100.0	807	cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 1/7-11

November 10, 2011

regn	Region		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	27	1	UPPER PENNINSULA
5.1	41	2	NORTHERN MICHIGAN
15.3	123	3	WEST CENTRAL
8.8	71	4	EAST CENTRAL
14.0	113	5	SOUTHWEST MICHIGAN
47.4	382	6	SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN
6.1	50	7	DETROIT
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/column: 1/12

---

listed	Sample		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
95.2	768	1	listed
4.8	39	2	unlisted
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/column: 1/16



## CC1 Past Financial

I'd like to start by asking you a few questions about how things are going for Michigan residents in general.

Would you say that you (and your family living there) are better off or worse off financially then you were a year ago?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
20.1	161	1	BETTER OFF
34.8	279	2	ABOUT THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
45.1	361	3	WORSE OFF
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/17

## CC2 Future Financial

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now, you (and your family living there) will be better off financially or worse off financially?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
37.9	274	1	BETTER OFF
25.9	187	2	ABOUT THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
36.2	262	3	WORSE OFF
	84	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/18

## CC3 Current Financial

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days?

Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
7.9	64	1	EXCELLENT
38.3	309	2	GOOD
34.1	274	3	JUST FAIR
12.8	103	4	NOT SO GOOD
6.9	55	5	POOR
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	0	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/19

## CC4 Inflation Rate

During the next twelve months, do you think the rate of inflation in this country will go up, will go down, or will stay about the same as it was in the past 12 months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
58.5	461	1	GO UP
4.6	37	2	GO DOWN
36.9	291	3	STAY ABOUT THE SAME
	17	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/20

## CC5 Unemployment Situation

Twelve months from now, do you expect the unemployment situation in this country to be better than, worse than, or about the same as it was in the last 12 months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.0	135	1	BETTER THAN
28.0	222	2	WORSE THAN
55.0	436	3	ABOUT THE SAME
	14	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/21

## CC6 Business Conditions

Now turning to business conditions in your community, do you think that during the next twelve months your community will have good times financially, or bad times financially?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
28.2	221	1	GOOD TIMES
56.5	444	2	BAD TIMES
15.3	120	3	NEITHER GOOD NOR BAD; MEDIOCRE STAY THE SAME (R PROVIDED)
	21	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/22

cook1                    Income Past Three Months

Thinking about your total family income, in the past three months, has your total family income from all sources increased, decreased or stayed about the same?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.9	71	1	INCREASED
20.1	161	2	DECREASED
71.0	571	3	STAYED THE SAME
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/23

November 10, 2011

## cookla                    Percent Increased - Past 3 Months

By what percent has your total income increased in the past three months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.5	0	0	
0.1	0	1	
0.4	0	2	
4.4	3	3	
3.8	2	4	
22.9	14	5	
19.4	12	10	
0.7	0	12	
1.4	1	15	
24.5	15	20	
0.8	1	25	
4.8	3	30	
2.5	2	40	
1.4	1	50	
1.0	1	60	
3.0	2	75	
4.0	2	90	
4.5	3	100	
	2	998	
	8	999	
	736	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,998  
Record/columns: 1/24-26

November 10, 2011

## cook1b                    Percent Decreased - Past 3 Months

By what percent has your total income decreased in the past three months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.2	0	0	PERCENT DECREASED
3.8	5	1	
1.5	2	2	
5.0	7	3	
2.6	4	4	
10.2	14	5	
0.5	1	7	
0.4	1	8	
17.7	25	10	
6.8	10	15	
1.2	2	18	
9.7	14	20	
8.0	11	25	
8.1	12	30	
2.0	3	35	
7.9	11	40	
10.1	14	50	
1.5	2	70	
0.4	1	75	
1.3	2	80	
1.1	1	100	PERCENT DECREASED
	18	998	DO NOT KNOW
	2	999	REFUSED
	646	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,998  
Record/columns: 1/27-29

November 10, 2011

cook2                    Income Next Three Months

Do you expect your total family income from all sources to increase, decrease, or stay the same in the next 3 months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.9	125	1	INCREASE
16.5	130	2	DECREASE
67.6	532	3	STAYED THE SAME
	12	8	DO NOT KNOW
	7	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/30

## cook2a                    Percent Increase - Next 3 Months

By what percent do you think your total income will increase in the next three months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
5.2	6	1	
3.1	3	2	
19.9	21	3	
13.7	15	5	
0.6	1	7	
2.2	2	8	
9.2	10	10	
0.4	0	18	
9.0	10	20	
5.0	5	25	
4.1	4	30	
2.5	3	40	
9.5	10	50	
8.1	9	70	
2.2	2	75	
5.5	6	100	
	17	998	
	1	999	
	682	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 999,998  
 Record/columns: 1/31-33

November 10, 2011



## cook2b                    Percent Decrease - Next 3 Months

By what percent do you think your total income will decrease in the next three months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	0	PERCENT INCREASE
3.2	4	1	
4.6	5	2	
1.7	2	3	
1.0	1	4	
8.3	10	5	
0.6	1	7	
1.7	2	9	
19.6	23	10	
3.1	4	15	
5.0	6	20	
6.3	7	25	
2.1	2	30	
0.9	1	40	
38.3	45	50	
2.4	3	60	
0.2	0	70	
0.2	0	75	
0.1	0	90	
0.6	1	100	PERCENT INCREASE
	8	998	DO NOT KNOW
	3	999	REFUSED
	677	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 999,998  
Record/columns: 1/34-36

November 10, 2011

## cook3                    Have Household Budget

Do you have a monthly household budget where you allocate how much to spend on your living expenses, such as housing, food, and transportation?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
71.0	565	1	YES
29.0	230	2	NO
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/37

## cook5                    Frequency Update Budget

How often do change your monthly budget?

Would you say every month, every couple of months, a few times a year, once a year or never?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.7	99	1	EVERY MONTH
18.2	102	2	EVERY COUPLE OF MONTHS
32.4	182	3	FEW TIMES A YEAR
20.4	114	4	ONCE A YEAR
11.3	63	5	NEVER
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	0	9	REFUSED
	242	.	Not Applicable

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/38

## P01 Obama Approval

The next couple of questions are about our elected officials.

Overall, how would you rate the way Barack Obama is performing his job as President?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.8	54	1	EXCELLENT
33.6	265	2	GOOD
26.7	210	3	FAIR
32.8	258	4	POOR
	11	8	DO NOT KNOW
	10	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/39

## D2 Congress Performance Approval

Overall, how would you rate the performance of the U.S. Congress in Washington as a whole?

(Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.4	3	1	EXCELLENT
5.4	43	2	GOOD
36.8	292	3	FAIR
57.4	455	4	POOR
	8	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/40

November 10, 2011

## PO2 Snyder Approval

How would you rate the way Rick Snyder is performing his job as Michigan's governor?

Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.4	19	1	EXCELLENT
16.9	130	2	GOOD
42.1	323	3	FAIR
38.5	296	4	POOR
	36	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/41

## suscon1 Knowledge Sustainable Construction

Next, I have some questions about the building and construction industry in Michigan.

Compared to the average person, would you say you know a lot about sustainable construction, know only a little about sustainable construction, or have you never before heard of sustainable construction?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.2	137	1	A LOT
43.2	346	2	ONLY A LITTLE
39.6	317	3	NEVER HEARD OF SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/42

November 10, 2011

## suscon2                    Effort Promote Sustainable Construction

Sustainable construction or "green building" refers to using construction processes that are environmentally responsible and resource-efficient throughout a building's life-cycle. Sustainable construction aims to use less new building materials, produce buildings that use less energy, cause less pollution, and produce less waste.

In your opinion, has the state of Michigan done an excellent, good, fair or poor job in promoting the use of sustainable construction?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.3	10	1	EXCELLENT
21.5	159	2	GOOD
45.4	337	3	FAIR
31.8	235	4	POOR
	57	8	DO NOT KNOW
	9	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/43

## suscon3                    Importance Encourage Sustainable Construction

Overall, how important do you think it is for the state of Michigan to encourage construction companies and individual homeowners to use sustainable construction practices?

Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
54.4	432	1	VERY IMPORTANT
37.9	301	2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
5.1	41	3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT
2.6	21	4	NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL
	9	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/44

November 10, 2011

## suscon4                    Impact Sustainable on MI Economy

How much of an impact do you think the use of sustainable construction practices would have on improving Michigan's economy?

Would you say a major impact, somewhat of an impact, or little or no impact?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
23.5	184	1	MAJOR IMPACT
58.1	456	2	SOMEWHAT OF AN IMPACT
18.3	144	3	LITTLE OR NO IMPACT
	19	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/45

## suscon5                    Impact Sustainable Job Creation

How much of an impact do you think the use of sustainable construction practices would have on job creation in Michigan?

(Would you say a major impact, some what of an impact, or little or no impact?)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
25.4	200	1	MAJOR IMPACT
55.3	435	2	SOMEWHAT OF AN IMPACT
19.3	152	3	LITTLE OR NO IMPACT
	20	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/46

suscon6                    Familiarity Green Jobs

How familiar are you with the Green Jobs Initiative in Michigan?

Would you say you are very familiar, somewhat familiar, not very familiar or not familiar at all?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
8.6	70	1	VERY FAMILIAR
37.3	301	2	SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR
29.5	238	3	NOT VERY FAMILIAR
24.6	198	4	NOT FAMILIAR AT ALL
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
	0	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/47

November 10, 2011

suscon7

## Creating Green Jobs

The State of Michigan defines "Green Jobs" as occupations within industries that provide products or services related to renewable energy, increased energy efficiency, clean transportation and fuels, natural resource conservation, and pollution prevention or environmental cleanup.

The Michigan Green Jobs Initiative is designed to help make sure these industries have the trained workers they need to grow and prosper.

In your opinion, has the state of Michigan done an excellent, good, fair, or poor job in creating green jobs in the building and construction industry?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.0	22	1	EXCELLENT
15.5	115	2	GOOD
53.3	394	3	FAIR
28.1	207	4	POOR
	66	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/48

November 10, 2011



## suscon8                    Effective: Financial Incentives

How effective or ineffective do you think each of the following would be in encouraging more sustainable construction and the creation of more Green Jobs in Michigan?

Financial incentives such as utility or energy rebates, tax credits, or reduced mortgage rates?

Would you say very effective, somewhat effective, somewhat ineffective, or very ineffective?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
22.5	178	1	VERY EFFECTIVE
64.3	507	2	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE
8.6	67	3	SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE
4.6	36	4	VERY INEFFECTIVE
	14	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/49

## suscon9                    Effective: Training Programs

Creating training programs in the use of sustainable construction practices?

Would you say very effective, somewhat effective, somewhat ineffective, or very ineffective?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
28.5	223	1	VERY EFFECTIVE
56.5	442	2	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE
10.4	81	3	SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE
4.6	36	4	VERY INEFFECTIVE
	21	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/50

November 10, 2011

suscon10                    Effective: Promoting Existing Programs

Promoting existing and creating new Green Jobs training programs?

(Would you say very effective, somewhat effective, somewhat ineffective, or very ineffective?)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
32.6	255	1	VERY EFFECTIVE
53.4	418	2	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE
9.1	71	3	SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE
4.9	38	4	VERY INEFFECTIVE
	21	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/51

suscon11                    Effective: Revising Building Codes

Revising existing building codes?

(Would you say very effective, somewhat effective, somewhat ineffective, or very ineffective?)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
21.6	165	1	VERY EFFECTIVE
60.3	460	2	SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE
11.3	86	3	SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE
6.8	52	4	VERY INEFFECTIVE
	41	8	DO NOT KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/52

## nbsc1 Read-Heard Heel Prick Test

Next, I have some questions about health care policy in Michigan.

Have you ever read or heard about the heel-prick test performed on all newborn babies that tests for serious but treatable diseases like PKU, Sickle Cell, and Cystic Fibrosis?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
47.7	382	1	YES
52.3	419	2	NO
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/53

## nbsc2 Read-Heard NewBorn Screening Program

Have you ever read or heard Michigan's heel prick test referred to as the Newborn Screening Program?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
28.7	229	1	YES
71.3	567	2	NO
	11	8	DON'T KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/54

## nbsc3                   Aware Left Over Blood Spots Kept

Shortly after birth, a few drops of blood, called blood spots, are taken from a baby's heel for newborn screening.

Did you know that after newborn screening, the state of Michigan keeps the left over blood spots?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.7	118	1	YES
85.3	683	2	NO
	6	8	DON'T KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/55

## nbsc4                   Aware Blood Spots Available Researchers

Did you know that the blood spots are made available to health researchers after the name and other personal information are removed?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.7	118	1	YES
85.3	684	2	NO
	5	8	DON'T KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/56

## nbsc5 Read-Heard Michigan BioTrust for Health

Have you ever read or heard this research program called the Michigan BioTrust for Health?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.2	90	1	YES
88.8	715	2	NO
	1	8	DON'T KNOW
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/57

## nbsc6 Favor-Oppose Blood Spots Research

To what extent do you favor or oppose the state of Michigan making blood spots available for health research?

Do you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose or strongly oppose this?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
35.6	273	1	STRONGLY FAVOR
37.8	290	2	SOMEWHAT FAVOR
1.6	12	3	NEITHER: FAVOR OR OPPOSE (R:VOLUNTEERS RESPONSE)
16.5	127	4	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
8.5	66	5	STRONGLY OPPOSE
	35	8	DON'T KNOW
	4	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/58

## nbsc7                   Opinion Change Nature of Research

Would your opinion regarding the use of making blood spots available for research change depending on the type of research?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
46.4	353	1	YES
53.6	408	2	NO
	40	8	DON'T KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/59

## nbsc8                   Researchers Obtain Consent

Should health researchers or the state of Michigan ask permission from parents or individuals before using blood spots for research?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
74.4	579	1	YES
25.6	199	2	NO
	27	8	DON'T KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/60

## CD1                   Sex

Finally, I have a some background questions for you.

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
48.3	390	1	MALE
51.7	417	2	FEMALE

----- ---  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 1/61

November 10, 2011

CD2                      Year of Birth

In what year were you born?

19

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
2.3	19	9	REFUSED
0.0	0	17	
0.1	0	19	
0.1	1	20	
0.2	1	21	
0.2	2	22	
0.3	2	23	
1.2	10	24	
0.3	2	25	
0.4	3	26	
0.6	5	27	
0.3	3	28	
0.2	2	29	
0.9	7	30	
0.4	3	31	
0.1	1	32	
1.0	8	33	
0.9	7	34	
0.5	4	35	
0.7	6	36	
0.6	5	37	
1.0	8	38	
0.8	7	39	
0.9	7	40	
0.6	5	41	
0.8	6	42	
1.5	12	43	
1.2	9	44	
0.5	4	45	
2.2	18	46	
2.0	16	47	
0.7	6	48	
1.3	10	49	
1.5	12	50	
1.2	10	51	
3.7	30	52	
2.8	23	53	
1.4	11	54	
2.2	17	55	
1.7	14	56	

November 10, 2011

1.0	8	57
2.0	16	58
1.7	14	59
1.2	9	60
1.3	11	61
1.6	13	62
1.6	13	63
3.7	30	64
2.2	18	65
1.9	15	66
1.3	11	67
1.0	8	68
1.6	13	69
2.0	16	70
1.4	11	71
1.9	16	72
3.1	25	73
0.8	6	74
3.1	25	75
1.6	13	76
1.1	9	77
1.0	8	78
1.5	12	79
0.6	5	80
0.6	5	81
1.2	10	82
1.7	14	83
0.1	1	84
5.5	44	85
0.4	3	86
4.2	34	87
0.7	6	88
3.1	25	89
0.3	2	90
0.4	3	91
2.1	17	92
0.5	4	93
-----	----	
100.0	807	cases

Data type: numeric  
Record/columns: 1/62-63

November 10, 2011



CD3

## Education Level

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	0	DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL
0.0	0	1	1st GRADE
0.0	0	2	2nd GRADE
0.0	0	3	3rd GRADE
0.0	0	4	4th GRADE
0.0	0	5	5th GRADE
0.0	0	6	6th GRADE
0.0	0	7	7th GRADE
0.2	2	8	8th GRADE
1.9	15	9	9th GRADE
0.8	6	10	10th GRADE
0.5	4	11	11th GRADE
23.0	185	12	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR GED HOLDER
7.4	60	13	1st YEAR COLLEGE
14.1	113	14	2nd YEAR COLLEGE
3.4	27	15	3rd YEAR COLLEGE
28.2	227	16	COLLEGE GRADUATE (FOUR YEARS)
2.3	19	17	SOME POST GRADUATE
13.9	112	18	GRADUATE DEGREE
4.4	35	20	TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRADUATE
	2	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 1/64-65

November 10, 2011

## CD5a Ethnicity

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.1	9	1	YES-HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN
98.9	790	5	NO-NOT HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED

-----  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/66

## CD4@a Race - White/Caucasian

What is your race?

White?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
79.4	641	1	YES
20.6	166	5	NO

-----  
100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/67

CD4@b Race - African American or Black

What is your race?

African American or Black?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.5	93	1	YES
88.5	714	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/68

CD4@c Race - Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

What is your race?

Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.1	0	1	YES
99.9	807	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/69

CD4@d Race - Asian

What is your race?

Asian?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
4.9	40	1	YES
95.1	767	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/70

---

CD4@e Race - American Indian or Alaska Native

What is your race?

American Indian or Alaska Native?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
2.5	20	1	YES
97.5	787	5	NO
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/71

CD4@f Race Other

What is your race?

Other?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
1.7	13	1	YES
98.3	794	5	NO
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 1/72

CD6 Religious Background

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views?

(Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.6	79	0	NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP
27.9	207	1	CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX
0.7	5	2	ISLAMIC/MUSLIM
0.4	3	3	JEWISH
40.6	302	4	PROTESTANT
6.6	49	5	OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN
12.9	96	6	OTHER CHRISTIAN
0.3	2	7	OTHER: UNABLE TO CLASSIFY
	40	98	DO NOT KNOW
	23	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 1/74-75

## CD7@a Political Party ID

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
10.1	79	0	OTHER
24.5	191	1	REPUBLICAN
28.7	224	4	INDEPENDENT
36.7	286	7	DEMOCRAT
	7	8	DO NOT KNOW
	19	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/76

## CD7@b Republican

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
50.5	96	1	STRONGLY REPUBLICAN
49.5	94	2	NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	616	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/77

CD7@c Democrat

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.6	86	6	NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT
69.4	195	7	STRONG DEMOCRAT
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	521	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/78

CD7@d Independent

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
33.9	99	3	REPUBLICAN
29.6	87	4	NEITHER
36.5	107	5	DEMOCRAT
	4	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
	504	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 1/79

## partyid                      Political Party Preference

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	0	OTHER PARTY, OTHER
12.6	96	1	strong republican
12.3	94	2	not strong republican
13.0	99	3	lean republican
11.4	87	4	neither
14.0	107	5	lean democrat
11.2	86	6	not strong democrat
25.5	195	7	strong democrat
	7	8	DON'T KNOW
	19	9	REFUSED
	16	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 8,9  
Record/column: 1/80

## P17@a                      Political Ideology

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a moderate, or a liberal?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.6	4	0	OTHER
36.1	271	1	CONSERVATIVE
41.3	310	4	NEITHER
22.0	165	7	LIBERAL
	36	8	DO NOT KNOW
	20	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/1



P17@b                    Conservative

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.4	85	1	VERY CONSERVATIVE
68.6	185	2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE
	0	9	REFUSED
	536	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/2

P17@c                    Liberal

Would you consider yourself very liberal or somewhat liberal?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
73.3	121	6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL
26.7	44	7	VERY LIBERAL
	642	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/3

P17@d Middle/Neither

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
40.4	124	3	CLOSER CONSERVATIVE
13.0	40	4	IN THE MIDDLE
46.6	143	5	CLOSER LIBERAL
	7	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	492	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/4

---

ideology Degree Liberal-Conservative

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.0	0	0	OTHER
11.4	85	1	very conservative
24.9	185	2	somewhat conservative
16.7	124	3	lean conservative
5.4	40	4	middle
19.3	143	5	lean liberal
16.3	121	6	somewhat liberal
6.0	44	7	very liberal
	36	8	DON'T KNOW
	20	9	REFUSED
	8	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/5

November 10, 2011

## regvote Registered Voter

Are you currently registered to vote in the state of Michigan?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
93.4	749	1	YES
6.6	53	2	NO
	5	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/6

## planvote Plan Register Before 2012 Election

Do you plan to register to vote before the 2012 general election?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
43.6	23	1	YES
56.4	30	2	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	754	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/7

## CD8 Marital Status

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
63.4	506	1	MARRIED, REMARRIED
6.8	54	2	DIVORCED
0.7	5	3	SEPARATED
5.7	45	4	WIDOWED
1.3	10	5	MEMBER OF AN UNMARRIED COUPLE
22.2	177	6	SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED
	9	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/8

## CD10 Adults HH

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
15.0	121	1	
60.6	489	2	
18.1	146	3	
5.3	43	4	
1.0	8	5	
0.1	1	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Record/columns: 2/9-10

## CD15                    Employment

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a homemaker or something else?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
42.5	333	1	WORK FULL TIME
15.9	125	2	WORK PART TIME
0.1	1	3	WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL
0.0	0	4	THE ARMED FORCES
0.0	0	5	HAVE A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK LAST WEEK
2.9	22	6	UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOK FOR WORK
19.3	151	7	RETIRED
2.1	16	8	SCHOOL FULL TIME
13.3	104	9	HOMEMAKER
2.1	17	10	DISABLED
1.9	15	90	MISCELLANEOUS: UNABLE TO CLASSIFY
	3	98	DO NOT KNOW
	20	99	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 99,98  
Record/columns: 2/11-12

## ret7                    Changed Retirement Plans

At any point in the last two years, have you changed your retirement plans?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
17.9	79	1	YES
82.1	361	2	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED
	359	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/13

November 10, 2011

## ret8a                    Changed Plans: Postponed-Delayed Retirement

In which of the following ways have you changed your retirement plans in the past two years?

Postponed or delayed when you planned to retire?

Postponed or delayed when you retired?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
51.2	40	1	YES
48.8	38	2	NO
	1	9	REFUSED
	728	.	Not Applicable

-----  
100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/14

## ret8b                    Change Plans: Retired Earlier

Retired earlier than you expected?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
21.1	17	1	YES
78.9	62	2	NO
	728	.	Not Applicable

-----  
100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/15

ret8c                    Change Plans: Save More

Decided to invest or save more for retirement?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
57.8	42	1	YES
42.2	31	2	NO
	5	8	DO NOT KNOW
	728	.	Not Applicable

-----  
100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/16

---

ret8d                    Change Plans: Change Portfolio

Changed your investment portfolio?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
80.1	63	1	YES
19.9	16	2	NO
	728	.	Not Applicable

-----  
100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/17

ret8e                    Changed Plan: Other

Is there any other ways in which you have changed your retirement plans that I have not already mentioned?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
73.2	54	2	HAVE NOT CHANGED OTHER WAYS
4.0	3	3	INVEST LESS IN RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS, REDUCED
10.1	7	4	WITHDRAWN RETIREMENT RESOURCES/FUNDS/ETC
3.7	3	5	CHANGED INVESTMENT OPTIONS: SAFER RETURN, GUARANTEED RETURNS,
0.0	0	6	SOLD, SELLING PROPERTY, ETC
9.0	7	90	OTHER: MISCELLANEOUS
	5	99	REFUSED THIS QUESTION
	728	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 99,98  
 Record/columns: 2/18-19

UN1                    Union Member

Are you currently a member of a union or are you represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
23.2	106	1	YES
76.8	352	5	NO
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
	1	9	REFUSED
	348	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/20



## UN2 Past Union Member

Have you ever been a member of a union or represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
35.5	245	1	YES
64.5	445	5	NO
	11	9	REFUSED
	106	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/21

---

## UN3 Union Family

Is anyone else in your household a member of a union or represented by a union?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
23.1	154	1	YES
76.9	514	5	NO
	13	8	DO NOT KNOW
	5	9	REFUSED
	121	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/22

inca                      More \$40,000

To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the general range of incomes of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential.

Now, thinking about your household's total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$40,000 or more in 2010?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
65.0	451	1	YES
35.0	243	5	NO
	17	8	DO NOT KNOW
	97	9	REFUSED
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/23

incb                      Less than \$20,000

Was it less than \$20,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
38.1	91	1	YES
61.9	148	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	3	9	REFUSED
	564	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/24

November 10, 2011

incca                    Less than \$30,000

Was is less than \$30,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
42.1	62	1	YES
57.9	85	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	659	.	Not Applicable

-----  
 100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/25

incc                    Less than \$10,000

Was it less than \$10,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
33.4	28	1	YES
66.6	57	5	NO
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	716	.	Not Applicable

-----  
 100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/26

incd                    More than \$60,000

Was it \$60,000 or more?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
70.0	303	1	YES
30.0	130	5	NO
	6	8	DO NOT KNOW
	13	9	REFUSED
	356	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/27

incf                    More than \$50,000

Was it \$50,000 or more?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
56.5	73	1	YES
43.5	56	5	NO
	1	8	DO NOT KNOW
	0	9	REFUSED
	677	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/28

incg                    More than \$100,000

Was it more than \$100,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
41.2	123	1	YES
58.8	176	5	NO
	3	8	DO NOT KNOW
	2	9	REFUSED
	504	.	Not Applicable

-----  
 100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/29

inch                    More than \$70,000

Was it more than \$70,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
55.8	93	1	YES
44.2	74	5	NO
	2	8	DO NOT KNOW
	6	9	REFUSED
	632	.	Not Applicable

-----  
 100.0    807 cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/30

incha                    More than \$90,000

Was it more than \$90,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
31.0	29	1	YES
69.0	64	5	NO
	1	9	REFUSED
	714	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/31

inci                    More than \$150,000

Was it more than \$150,000?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
25.3	31	1	YES
74.7	90	5	NO
	2	9	REFUSED
	684	.	Not Applicable
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 2/32

## NEWIncome R Household Income in 11 Categories (new version)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
4.1	28	1	Less than \$10,000
9.0	63	2	\$10,000-19,999
9.1	63	3	\$20,000-29,999
12.8	89	4	\$30,000-39,999
10.8	75	5	\$40,000-49,999
10.5	73	6	\$50,000-59,999
12.5	86	7	\$60,000-69,999
9.3	65	8	\$70,000-89,999
4.1	29	9	\$90,000-99,999
13.3	92	10	\$100,000-149,999
4.4	31	11	\$150,000 or More
	17	98	DO NOT KNOW
	97	99	REFUSED

----- ---  
 100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 98,99  
 Record/columns: 4/86-87

## CD26 PHOne Lines

How many different phone numbers does your household have, not including cell phones?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
95.7	773	1	
2.9	23	2	
0.2	2	3	
0.0	0	4	
0.1	1	6	
1.1	9	9	

----- ---  
 100.0 807 cases

Data type: numeric  
 Record/column: 2/35

## X1                   Type Community

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.2	1	0	OTHER
32.5	262	1	RURAL COMMUNITY
24.8	200	2	SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE
29.2	235	3	A SUBURB
13.3	107	4	URBAN COMMUNITY
0.1	1	7	MISCELLANEOUS-UNABLE CLASSIFY
	0	8	DO NOT KNOW
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
 Missing-data codes: 9,8  
 Record/column: 2/36

-----  
zipcode                   ZipCode

What is your zip code?

807 cases

Data type: character  
 Record/columns: 2/37-41



## RI Re-Interview

In a couple of months, we'd like to re-contact some of the people we've spoken with for another interview either over the phone or on the web. Would you be willing to participate again in a couple of months?

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
84.9	459	1	YES
15.1	81	5	NO
	13	8	DO NOT KNOW
	8	9	REFUSED
	246	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,8  
Record/column: 3/1

-----  
contacts Contacts

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
23.1	187	1	
15.5	125	2	
17.6	142	3	
10.1	82	4	
6.7	54	5	
4.8	38	6	
8.1	65	7	
3.8	31	8	
3.0	24	9	
2.0	17	10	
1.9	16	11	
1.7	14	12	
0.2	2	13	
0.7	5	14	
0.0	0	16	
0.7	6	BLANK	
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 4/1-2

November 10, 2011

## length                    Interview Length

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.3	3	1	
13.7	111	10	
11.0	89	11	
12.2	99	12	
11.1	90	13	
11.8	96	14	
6.5	52	15	
4.1	33	16	
3.3	27	17	
2.8	22	18	
1.9	15	19	
1.7	14	20	
0.6	5	21	
0.2	2	22	
0.2	2	23	
1.1	9	24	
0.5	4	25	
0.1	0	26	
0.3	2	27	
0.1	1	28	
0.0	0	30	
0.0	0	31	
0.1	0	32	
0.0	0	35	
0.9	8	8	
12.2	99	9	
3.1	25	BLANK	
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 4/3-6

November 10, 2011

idate	Interview Date		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.6	5	09152011	
0.8	6	09162011	
0.3	2	09172011	
3.4	27	09182011	
1.8	14	09192011	
2.4	19	09202011	
1.3	11	09212011	
2.7	22	09222011	
1.9	15	09232011	
3.6	29	09242011	
2.5	20	09252011	
1.1	9	09262011	
1.1	9	09272011	
1.7	14	09282011	
6.2	50	09292011	
0.9	7	09302011	
1.7	14	10012011	
1.1	9	10022011	
5.4	44	10032011	
0.8	6	10042011	
2.1	17	10052011	
3.6	29	10062011	
0.4	3	10072011	
2.7	21	10082011	
2.8	23	10092011	
1.3	10	10102011	
0.9	7	10112011	
1.8	15	10122011	
0.2	2	10132011	
0.6	5	10142011	
0.8	7	10152011	
1.5	12	10162011	
0.2	2	10172011	
0.9	7	10182011	
0.5	4	10192011	
1.3	11	10202011	
2.5	20	10212011	
0.9	7	10222011	
2.9	23	10232011	
1.9	15	10242011	
0.5	4	10252011	
0.7	6	10262011	
2.0	16	10272011	
2.8	22	10282011	
5.4	43	10292011	

November 10, 2011

0.4	3	10302011
1.5	12	10312011
3.8	31	11012011
2.1	17	11022011
2.6	21	11032011
0.8	7	11042011
0.1	1	11052011
2.4	19	11062011
2.0	16	11072011
1.3	11	11082011
0.7	6	BLANK
-----	---	
100.0	807	cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 4/7-14

iwcr	Interviewer		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.2	2	100	
0.0	0	106	
0.2	2	121	
2.1	17	406	
1.8	15	416	
1.0	8	447	
1.2	10	455	
6.2	50	467	
6.4	52	506	
5.0	40	520	
1.0	8	523	
0.1	1	531	
0.2	2	536	
0.0	0	56	
0.5	4	560	
0.6	4	564	
0.3	2	58	
3.4	27	581	
0.2	1	585	
0.5	4	611	
5.0	41	623	
4.1	33	627	
0.2	2	63	
15.0	121	630	
0.3	2	633	
3.9	32	638	
2.9	23	651	
0.1	1	667	
1.8	14	672	
1.6	13	688	
2.3	18	690	
4.2	34	695	
0.0	0	716	
2.8	23	725	
2.1	17	737	
1.7	13	738	
0.6	5	742	
0.0	0	744	
1.6	13	745	
1.9	15	747	
0.1	1	750	
0.7	6	751	
0.4	4	804	
0.0	0	807	
4.5	37	813	

November 10, 2011

0.2	2	842
5.5	44	877
1.3	11	967
0.4	4	977
3.0	24	979
0.2	2	984
0.7	6	
-----	---	
100.0	807	cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 4/15-17

---

males		Males	
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
14.7	119	0	
66.6	537	1	
15.2	123	2	
2.8	22	3	
0.7	6		
-----	---		
100.0	807		cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 4/18-19

---

females		Females	
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
6.5	52	0	
73.9	597	1	
17.5	141	2	
1.3	10	3	
0.1	1	4	
0.7	6		
-----	---		
100.0	807		cases

Data type: character  
Record/columns: 4/20-21

races

Respondent Race

Recoded race of respondent (multiple responses coded to a single group)

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
80.7	630	1	White
11.9	93	2	African American
0.1	0	3	Hawaiian Pacific Islander
5.1	40	4	Asian
2.2	18	5	Native American
	27	.	Not Applicable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Record/column: 4/57

agecat

Rs age in categories

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
11.5	91	1	18 - 24 Yrs
9.1	71	2	25 - 29 Yrs
15.6	123	3	30 - 39 Yrs
18.8	148	4	40 - 49 Yrs
19.4	153	5	50 - 59 Yrs
6.9	54	6	60 - 64 Yrs
18.9	149	7	65 or older
	19	9	REFUSED
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 9  
Record/column: 4/58

adjwt

Weight adj for phones adults race gender age within region

807 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.0574-14.3415)

Data type: numeric  
Decimals: 4  
Missing-data code: 0.0000  
Record/columns: 4/59-65

November 10, 2011

## msueregn                    Region code based on MSU Extension Groupings

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.3	27	1	UP
5.1	41	2	NORTH LP
15.3	123	3	W. CENTRAL
8.8	71	4	E. CENTRAL
14.0	113	5	SOUTHWEST
53.5	432	6	SOUTHEAST URBAN
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 4/66

---

## msuewt                    weight by MSU region

807 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.0148-22.8689)

Data type: numeric  
Decimals: 4  
Record/columns: 4/67-73

---

## statewt                    Weight for Statewide Estimates

807 cases (Range of valid codes: 0.0243-37.5782)

Data type: numeric  
Decimals: 4  
Record/columns: 4/74-80

---

## rac3                    Race 3 categories

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
80.7	630	1	White
11.9	93	2	African American
7.4	58	3	Other
	27	0	Refuse-Not codable
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data codes: 9,0  
Record/column: 4/81



AGE	Age of Respondent		
%	N	VALUE	LABEL
0.5	4	18	
2.1	17	19	
0.4	3	20	
0.3	2	21	
3.2	25	22	
0.7	6	23	
4.3	34	24	
0.4	3	25	
5.6	44	26	
0.1	1	27	
1.7	14	28	
1.3	10	29	
0.6	5	30	
0.6	5	31	
1.5	12	32	
1.0	8	33	
1.2	9	34	
1.6	13	35	
3.2	25	36	
0.8	6	37	
3.1	25	38	
2.0	16	39	
1.4	11	40	
2.0	16	41	
1.6	13	42	
1.0	8	43	
1.4	11	44	
2.0	15	45	
2.3	18	46	
3.8	30	47	
1.7	13	48	
1.6	13	49	
1.3	11	50	
1.2	9	51	
1.7	14	52	
2.0	16	53	
1.0	8	54	
1.7	14	55	
2.2	17	56	
1.4	11	57	
2.9	23	58	
3.8	30	59	
1.2	10	60	
1.5	12	61	
1.3	10	62	

November 10, 2011

0.7	6	63	
2.1	16	64	
2.2	18	65	
0.6	4	66	
1.2	9	67	
1.5	12	68	
0.8	6	69	
0.6	5	70	
0.9	7	71	
0.8	7	72	
1.0	8	73	
0.6	5	74	
0.7	6	75	
0.5	4	76	
0.9	7	77	
1.0	8	78	
0.2	1	79	
0.4	3	80	
0.9	7	81	
0.2	2	82	
0.3	3	83	
0.7	5	84	
0.4	3	85	
0.3	2	86	
1.2	10	87	
0.3	2	88	
0.2	2	89	
0.2	1	90	
0.1	1	91	
0.1	0	92	
0.0	0	94	
	19	0	REFUSED/MISSING
-----	----		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/columns: 4/82-84

## imprace                    Respondent Race with Imputation for missing data

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
81.2	655	1	White
11.6	94	2	African American
7.2	58	3	Other
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 4/85

---

## sample                    Sample source of Respondent

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
30.5	246	1	S-58/59 Re-Interview
69.5	561	2	S-60 New Respondent
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 4/88

---

## educat4                    Respondents Level of Education

%	N	VALUE	LABEL
3.4	27	1	< H.S.
23.0	185	2	H.S. Grad
29.3	236	3	Some College
44.3	357	4	College+
	2	0	
-----	---		
100.0	807	cases	

Data type: numeric  
Missing-data code: 0  
Record/column: 4/90