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ID1
CaseID
1001 cases
Type: character Width: 5
Input location: 1/1-5

R1
Data Record
PCT N VALUE
100.0 $1001 \quad 1$

1001 cases
Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/6

cnty |  |  | Count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| PCT | N | VALUE |
| 0.0 | 0 | 26001 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26003 |
| 1.4 | 14 | 26005 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26007 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26009 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26011 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26013 |
| 1.0 | 10 | 26015 |
| 1.2 | 12 | 26017 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26019 |
| 2.1 | 21 | 26021 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 26023 |
| 1.1 | 11 | 26025 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26027 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26029 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26031 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26033 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26035 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26037 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26039 |
| 0.6 | 6 | 26041 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26043 |
| 0.6 | 6 | 26045 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26047 |
| 5.3 | 53 | 26049 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26051 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26053 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26055 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26057 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26059 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 26061 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26063 |
| 2.9 | 29 | 26065 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26067 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26069 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26071 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 26073 |
| 2.0 | 20 | 26075 |
| 2.1 | 21 | 26077 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26079 |
| 3.8 | 38 | 26081 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26085 |
| 0.6 | 6 | 26087 |
| 0.6 | 16 | 26089 |
|  | 26091 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 0.3 |  |  |

| 1.3 | 13 | 26093 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26097 |
| 5.4 | 54 | 26099 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26101 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 26103 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26105 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26107 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26109 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26111 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26113 |
| 1.5 | 15 | 26115 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26117 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26119 |
| 1.9 | 19 | 26121 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26123 |
| 6.7 | 68 | 26125 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26127 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26129 |
| 0.0 | 0 | 26131 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 26133 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26135 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26137 |
| 2.8 | 28 | 26139 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 26141 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 26143 |
| 1.5 | 15 | 26145 |
| 1.7 | 17 | 26147 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26149 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26151 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 26153 |
| 1.2 | 12 | 26155 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 26157 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 26159 |
| 2.1 | 21 | 26161 |
| 28.2 | 282 | 26163 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 26165 |
|  | -- |  |
|  | 1001 | $c a s e s$ |

Type: character Width: 5
Input location: 1/7-11

```
regn Region
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
        3.4 34 1 upper pen
        5.7 57 2 northern
    14.2 142 3 west central
    8.7 87 4 east central
    13.8 138 5 southwest
    45.6 457 6 southeast
    8.7 87 7 Detroit
```

    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: none
    Decimals: 0 Max: 7
    Input location: 1/12
    newreg5
New MSUE Region
PCT N VALUE
100.01001
1001 cases
Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/13
random
Random Digit 1-5

| PCT | N | VALUE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20.1 | 201 | 1 |
| 20.7 | 207 | 2 |
| 18.8 | 189 | 3 |
| 20.9 | 209 | 4 |
| 19.5 | 195 | 5 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/14

| random1 |  | Rando |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PCT | N | VALUE |
| 33.9 | 340 | 1 |
| 32.9 | 330 | 2 |
| 33.1 | 332 | 3 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/15
random2
Random Digit 0-9

| PCT | N | VALUE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10.5 | 105 | 0 |
| 8.2 | 82 | 1 |
| 9.5 | 95 | 2 |
| 7.6 | 76 | 3 |
| 7.6 | 76 | 4 |
| 10.8 | 108 | 5 |
| 10.9 | 109 | 6 |
| 13.2 | 132 | 7 |
| 10.8 | 108 | 8 |
| 10.9 | 109 | 9 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/16
random3
Random Digit 1-2

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 49.8 | 499 | 1 |
| 50.2 | 502 | 2 |
|  | ---- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 1
Input location: 1/17

December 7, 2007

```
listed Sample Type
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
        73.2 733 1 listed
        26.8 268 2 unlisted
            1001 cases
        Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: none
        Decimals: 0 Max: 2
    Input location: 1/18
CC1
                    Past Financial
            I'd like to start by asking you a few questions about how things are going
            for Michigan residents in general.
            Would you say that you (and your family living there) are better off or
            worse off financially than you were a year ago?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    27.5 275 1 BETTER OFF
    25.5 255 2 ABOUT THE SAME
    47.0 469 3 WORSE OFF
            1 8 DO NOT KNOW
            1 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 3
    Input location: 1/19
```

```
CC2 Future Financial
    Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now, you (and your
        family living there) will be better off financially or worse off
        financially?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
44.5 & 417 & 1 & BETTER OFF \\
19.7 & 185 & 3 & ABOUT THE SAME \\
35.8 & 336 & 5 & WORSE OFF \\
& 63 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/20
How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days?
Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
6.5 & 64 & 1 & EXCELLENT \\
45.0 & 448 & 2 & GOOD \\
31.2 & 311 & 3 & JUST FAIR \\
9.2 & 92 & 4 & NOT SO GOOD \\
8.2 & 82 & 5 & POOR \\
& 0 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 5 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/21
```

```
CC4 Inflation
        During the next twelve months, do you think the rate of inflation
        in this country will go up, will go down, or will stay about the same as it
        was in the past }12\mathrm{ months?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        68.1 656 1 GO UP
        7.2 69 3 GO DOWN
        24.7 238 5 STAY ABOUT THE SAME
            37 8 DO NOT KNOW
            0 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/22
CC5
                    Unemployment Situation
            Twelve months from now, do you expect the unemployment situation
            in this country to be better than, worse than, or about
            the same as it was in the last }12\mathrm{ months?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
11.9 & 117 & 1 & BETTER THAN \\
52.0 & 512 & 3 & WORSE THAN \\
36.1 & 356 & 5 & ABOUT THE SAME \\
& 15 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/23
```

```
CC6 Business Conditions
        Now turning to business conditions in your community, do you think that
        during the next twelve months your community will have good
        times financially, or bad times financially?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        25.1 241 1 GOOD TIMES
        65.9 632 3 BAD TIMES
        9.0 86 5 NEITHER
            40 8 DO NOT KNOW
            1 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/24
P01
```


## Bush Rating

```
The next few questions are about our elected officials and national security.
Overall, how would you rate the way George W. Bush is performing his job as President?
Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
3.6 & 34 & 1 & EXCELLENT \\
16.2 & 156 & 2 & GOOD \\
32.3 & 310 & 3 & FAIR \\
47.9 & 460 & 4 & POOR \\
& 2 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 40 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/25
```

```
P02
                    Granholm Rating
```

    How would you rate the way Jennifer Granholm is performing her job as
    Michigan's governor?
    Would you say excellent, good, fair, or poor?
    | PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1.6 | 15 | 1 | EXCELLENT |
| 18.5 | 175 | 2 | GOOD |
| 36.8 | 348 | 3 | FAIR |
| 43.2 | 408 | 4 | POOR |
|  | 23 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 33 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/26

All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months?

Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 19.5 | 194 | 1 | VERY CONCERNED |
| 39.0 | 389 | 2 | SOMEWHAT CONCERNED |
| 31.8 | 317 | 3 | NOT VERY CONCERNED |
| 9.7 | 96 | 4 | NOT CONCERNED AT ALL |
|  | 4 | 8 | DON'T KNOW |
|  | 1 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | .-- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/27

```
reng1@a Term Renewable Energy - 1st Mention
```

    Next, I would like to ask you some questions about renewable energy
    and energy efficiency.
    When you hear the term "renewable energy" what one or two words come to
    mind?
    | PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 21.9 | 218 | 1 | WIND, SOLAR, WATER POWER |
| 4.1 | 41 | 2 | NUCLEAR POWER, HYDROGEN POWER |
| 15.0 | 150 | 3 | BIO-FUELS, ETHANOL, (CORN, GRAIN, WOOD, ETC) |
| 2.8 | 27 | 4 | SUSTAINABLE ENERGY/RENEWABLE SOURCE |
| 3.2 | 32 | 5 | EFFICIENCY |
| 0.9 | 9 | 6 | ELECTRIC CARS/HYBRID CARS |
| 1.0 | 10 | 7 | EXPENSIVE/HIGHER COST |
| 0.9 | 9 | 8 | LESS EXPENSIVE/LOWER COST |
| 8.4 | 84 | 9 | ABILITY TO RE-USE/RECYCLED |
| 2.6 | 26 | 10 | GREEN, ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY |
| 0.3 | 3 | 11 | UNNECESSARY, NOT GOOD, NOT NEEDED |
| 2.9 | 29 | 12 | GOOD, BETTER |
| 0.3 | 3 | 13 | FUTURE/PROGRESS |
| 0.7 | 7 | 14 | COST OF ENERGY/ENERGY BILLS/PAYING FOR ENERGY |
| 0.0 | 0 | 15 | NOTHING/NOT SURE |
| 0.5 | 5 | 16 | GLOBAL WARMING |
| 3.5 | 35 | 17 | FOSSIL FUELS |
| 30.9 | 308 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 5 | 99 | REFUSED |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 99
Decimals: 0 Max: 98
Input location: 1/28-29

```
reng1@b Term Renewable Energy - 2nd Mention
```

Next, I would like to ask you some questions about renewable energy and energy efficiency.

When you hear the term "renewable energy" what one or two words come to mind?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 35.9 | 148 | 1 | WIND, SOLAR, WATER POWER |
| 4.6 | 19 | 2 | NUCLEAR POWER, HYDROGEN POWER |
| 17.0 | 70 | 3 | BIO-FUELS, ETHANOL, (CORN, GRAIN, WOOD, ETC) |
| 0.9 | 4 | 4 | SUSTAINABLE ENERGY/RENEWABLE SOURCE |
| 1.1 | 5 | 5 | EFFICIENCY |
| 3.0 | 12 | 6 | ELECTRIC CARS/HYBRID CARS |
| 1.4 | 6 | 7 | EXPENSIVE/HIGHER COST |
| 0.0 | 0 | 8 | LESS EXPENSIVE/LOWER COST |
| 3.7 | 15 | 9 | ABILITY TO RE-USE/RECYCLED |
| 1.8 | 7 | 10 | GREEN, ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY |
| 0.4 | 2 | 11 | UNNECESSARY, NOT GOOD, NOT NEEDED |
| 6.8 | 28 | 12 | GOOD, BETTER |
| 0.5 | 2 | 13 | FUTURE/PROGRESS |
| 0.4 | 2 | 14 | COST OF ENERGY/ENERGY BILLS/PAYING FOR ENERGY |
| 0.0 | 0 | 15 | NOTHING/NOT SURE |
| 1.7 | 7 | 16 | GLOBAL WARMING |
| 3.3 | 14 | 17 | FOSSIL FUELS |
|  | 264 | 90 | NO MORE MENTIONED |
| 17.4 | 72 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 13 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | 313 | -- | Not Applicable |

Type: numeric Min: $0 \quad$ MD Codes: 90,99 Decimals: 0 Max: 98

Input location: 1/30-31

```
reng2a Important Renewable Energy - Family
    SPLIT HALF = RANDOM3 EQ 1
    Renewable energy is energy that comes from sources such as solar, water,
    and wind power.
    How important is it to you and your family that you use energy
    generated from renewable energy sources?
    Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important,
    or not important at all?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
52.6 & 262 & 1 & VERY IMPORTANT \\
34.9 & 174 & 2 & SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT \\
5.5 & 28 & 3 & NOT VERY IMPORTANT \\
6.9 & 34 & 4 & NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL \\
& 1 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 99 & REFUSED \\
& 502 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 99,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/32-33
```

```
reng2b Important Renewable Energy - Neighbors
    How important do you think using renewable energy is to your neighbors?
    Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important,
    or not important at all?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    27.8 131 1 VERY IMPORTANT
    41.2 195 2 SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
    22.4 106 3 NOT VERY IMPORTANT
        8.6 40 4 NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL
            27 8 DO NOT KNOW
            0 99 REFUSED
            5 0 2 ~ . ~ N o t ~ A p p l i c a b l e
                1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 99,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 1/34-35
```

```
reng3a Important Energy Efficiency - Family
    SPLIT HALF = RANDOM3 EQ 2
    Energy efficency is getting the most benefit from the energy that
    is used to lighting, running appliances, and heating our homes.
    How important is it to you and your family that you use energy
    efficiently?
    Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important,
    or not important at all?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
76.6 & 385 & 1 & VERY IMPORTANT \\
21.3 & 107 & 2 & SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT \\
2.1 & 11 & 3 & NOT VERY IMPORTANT \\
0.0 & 0 & 4 & NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL \\
& 0 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 99 & REFUSED \\
& 499 &. & Not Applicable \\
&.-- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 8,99
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 1/36-37
```

```
reng3b Important Energy Efficiency - Friends
```

    How important do you think using energy efficently is to your neighbors?
    Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important,
    or not important at all?
    | PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 43.7 | 204 | 1 | VERY IMPORTANT |
| 43.7 | 204 | 2 | SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT |
| 10.0 | 47 | 3 | NOT VERY IMPORTANT |
| 2.5 | 12 | 4 | NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL |
|  | 35 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | 499 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | .-- |  |  |
|  | 1001 cases |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 8,99 Decimals: 0 Max: 4

Input location: 1/38-39
reng4c Require Utilities Promote Energy Efficiency
Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each.

The state should require Michigan utility companies to do more to promote energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy.
(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 55.6 | 548 | 1 | STRONGLY AGREE |
| 34.6 | 341 | 2 | SOMEWHAT AGREE |
| 0.2 | 2 | 3 | NEITHER AGREE/DISAGREE |
| 6.2 | 61 | 4 | SOMEWHAT DISAGREE |
| 3.3 | 33 | 5 | STRONGLY DISAGREE |
|  | 8 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 9 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | .-- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 1/40
reng4a Support Development Renewable - Community Appearance
I would support the development of renewable energy sources even if it meant some changes to the appearance of my community.

Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?
"Renewable energy is energy that comes from sources such as solar, water, and wind power."

PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
$49.4483 \quad 1 \quad$ STRONGLY AGREE
$42.7418 \quad 2$ SOMEWHAT AGREE
0.434 NEITHER AGREE/DISAGREE
$6.160 \quad 4$ SOMEWHAT DISAGREE
$1.313 \quad 5$ STRONGLY DISAGREE
208 DO NOT KNOW
39 REFUSED
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 1/41

```
reng4b State Encourage Development Renewable Sources
```

    It is the state's responsibility to encourage the development and use
    of renewable energy sources.
    (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree,
        or strongly disagree?)
    | PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 44.7 | 444 | 1 | STRONGLY AGREE |
| 39.7 | 394 | 2 | SOMEWHAT AGREE |
| 0.4 | 4 | 3 | NEITHER AGREE/DISAGREE |
| 11.1 | 110 | 4 | SOMEWHAT DISAGREE |
| 4.2 | 41 | 5 | STRONGLY DISAGREE |
|  | 6 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 1/42

```
reng6a Tax Incentives - Consumers, Businesses
    SPLIT HALF = RANDOM3 EQ 2
    Next, I would like to read you some proposed plans for increasing
    energy efficiency in our state. Please tell me how
    likely you would be to support each.
    Offering tax incentives to consumers and businesses that use energy
    efficient appliances, light fixtures, or heating systems.
    Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very
    unlikely to support this?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
52.8 & 262 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
39.2 & 195 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
3.7 & 18 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
4.4 & 22 & 4 & VERY UNLIKELY \\
& 5 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 499 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 cases & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
```

    Input location: 1/43
    ```
reng6b Energy Efficiency Standards Homes, Buildings
    Increasing the energy efficiency standards for new homes and commercial
    buildings?
    (Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very
    unlikely to support this?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
60.1 & 298 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
35.9 & 178 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
2.3 & 11 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
1.7 & 9 & 4 & VERY UNLIKELY \\
& 5 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 499 &. & Not Applicable \\
&.-- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 1/44
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
reng6c & Energy Efficiency Standards \\
Adopting statewide energy efficiency stand \\
(Would you be very likely, somewhat likely \\
unlikely to support this?) \\
PCT & N
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) VALUE LABEL
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/45
```

```
reng6d Tax Incentives - Renewable Sources
    SPLIT HALF = RANDOM3 EQ 1
    Next, I would like to read you some proposed plans for increasing
    renewable energy use in our state. Please tell me how likely you would
    be to support each.
    Offering tax incentives to consumers and businesses to develop and
    implement smaller renewable energy systems such as solar panels?
    Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very
    unlikely to support this?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
50.3 247 1 VERY LIKELY
39.9 196 2 SOMEWHAT LIKELY
    5.4 26 3 SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY
    4.4 21 4 VERY UNLIKELY
            6 DO NOT KNOW
            3 9 REFUSED
        5 0 2 ~ . ~ N o t ~ A p p l i c a b l e
        1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
```

Input location: 1/46

```
reng6e Constructing Renewable Energy Generators
    Constructing large renewable energy generators like wind turbine farms?
    (Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very
        unlikely to support this?)
            "A wind turbine is a large windmill used to generate electricity."
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
54.7 & 265 & 1 & VERY LIKELY \\
35.4 & 172 & 2 & SOMEWHAT LIKELY \\
7.3 & 35 & 3 & SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY \\
2.6 & 12 & 4 & VERY UNLIKELY \\
& 11 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 502 &. & Not Applicable \\
.--- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 1/47
```

```
reng7 Percentage Generated Renewable
```

reng7 Percentage Generated Renewable
Currently, Michigan generates 3 percent of its electricity from renewable
Currently, Michigan generates 3 percent of its electricity from renewable
sources. The legislature is considering a requirement for utility
sources. The legislature is considering a requirement for utility
companies to supply 10 percent of electricity from renewable sources
companies to supply 10 percent of electricity from renewable sources
by 2015.
by 2015.
Do you think this percentage is too high, too low, or about right?
Do you think this percentage is too high, too low, or about right?
PCT N VALUE LABEL
PCT N VALUE LABEL
11.5 109 1 TOO HIGH
11.5 109 1 TOO HIGH
30.8 292 2 TOO LOW
30.8 292 2 TOO LOW
57.7 547 3 ABOUT RIGHT
57.7 547 3 ABOUT RIGHT
53 8 DO NOT KNOW
53 8 DO NOT KNOW
1 9 REFUSED
1 9 REFUSED
*
*
1001 cases
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 1/48

```
    Input location: 1/48
```

```
reng8 Tax Dollars Upgrade Grids
    Would you approve or disapprove of the state of Michigan using tax dollars
    to upgrade electrical grids, increase energy efficiency, and add
    renewable energy sources?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
70.4 & 665 & 1 & APPROVE \\
29.6 & 280 & 5 & NO DISAPPROVE \\
& 42 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 14 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/49
gw1
Evidence Global Warming
Next, I would like to ask you a few questions on the issue of global warming.
From what you've read and heard, is there solid evidence that the average temperature on earth has been getting warmer over the past four decades?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
83.6 & 794 & 1 & YES \\
16.4 & 156 & 5 & NO \\
& 47 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 4 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Type: numeric & Min: 1 \\
Decimals: 0 & Max: 5
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/50
```

```
gw2
Cause: Human Activity-Fossil Fuels
```

Is the earth getting warmer because of human activity such as burning fossil fuels, or mostly because of natural patterns in the earth's environment?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 51.0 | 366 | 1 | HUMAN ACTIVITY |
| 27.9 | 200 | 3 | NATURAL PATTERNS |
| 21.1 | 152 | 5 | COMBINATION |
|  | 76 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 207 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 1/51

Next, I would like to read you a list of factors that may or may not have had an effect on your view that the earth is getting warmer.

For each factor I mention please indicate if it has had a strong effect, a moderate effect, a small effect, or no effect on your view that the earth is getting warmer.

Declining glaciers and polar ice throughout the globe.

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58.5 | 451 | 1 | STRONG EFFECT |
| 28.2 | 217 | 2 | MODERATE EFFECT |
| 7.2 | 55 | 3 | SMALL EFFECT |
| 6.2 | 47 | 4 | NO EFFECT |
|  | 21 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 207 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | ---- |  |  |
| Type: | numeric | Min: 1 | MD Codes: 9,8 |
| Decima | ls: 0 | Max: 4 |  |
| Input location: 1/52 |  |  |  |

```
gw4 Warmer Temperatures
            Warmer temperatures in your area during recent years.
            (Has this had a strong effect, a moderate effect, a small effect, or no
                        effect on your view that the earth is getting warmer?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
42.5 & 335 & 1 & STRONG EFFECT \\
33.0 & 260 & 2 & MODERATE EFFECT \\
12.5 & 99 & 3 & SMALL EFFECT \\
11.9 & 94 & 4 & NO EFFECT \\
& 7 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 207 &. & Not Applicable \\
.--- & & \\
& 1001 cases & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/53
gw5
Computer Models
    Computer models that indicate the earth is getting warmer.
    (Has this had a strong effect, a moderate effect, a small effect, or no
    effect on your view that the earth is getting warmer?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
40.7 & 308 & 1 & STRONG EFFECT \\
29.8 & 226 & 2 & MODERATE EFFECT \\
17.9 & 136 & 3 & SMALL EFFECT \\
11.6 & 88 & 4 & NO EFFECT \\
& 36 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 207 &. & Not Applicable \\
.--- & & \\
& 1001 cases & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
```

    Input location: 1/54
    ```
gw6 Strength Hurricane Katrina.
```

        The strength of Hurricane Katrina.
        (Has this had a strong effect, a moderate effect, a small effect, or no
        effect on your view that the earth is getting warmer?
    | PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 34.3 | 262 | 1 | STRONG EFFECT |
| 23.2 | 178 | 2 | MODERATE EFFECT |
| 18.5 | 142 | 3 | SMALL EFFECT |
| 24.0 | 183 | 4 | NO EFFECT |
|  | 27 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 207 | . | Not Applicable |
| .--- |  |  |  |
|  | 1001 cases |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/55
gw7
"An Inconvenient Truth" Documentary
Al Gore's documentary "An Inconvenient Truth".
(Has this had a strong effect, a moderate effect, a small effect, or no effect on your view that the earth is getting warmer?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 32.1 | 223 | 1 | STRONG EFFECT |
| 19.1 | 133 | 2 | MODERATE EFFECT |
| 14.3 | 100 | 3 | SMALL EFFECT |
| 34.4 | 239 | 4 | NO EFFECT |
|  | 97 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 207 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 cases |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/56

```
gw9 Seriousness Problem
    In your view is global warming a very serious problem, somewhat serious,
        not too serious, or not a problem?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    46.2 458 1 VERY SERIOUS
    29.3 291 2 SOMEWHAT SERIOUS
    12.3 122 3 NOT TOO SERIOUS
    12.2 121 4 NO A PROBLEM
            10 8 DO NOT KNOW
            0}9\mathrm{ REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 1/57
gw11
                    Federal Government
        The federal government?
        (Would you say it has a great deal of responsibility, some responsibility,
        or no responsibility for taking action to reduce global warming?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
    49.3 480 1 GREAT DEAL RESPONSIBILITY
    37.1 361 2 SOME RESPONSIBILITY
    13.6 132 3 NO RESPONSIBILITY
            20 8 DO NOT KNOW
            8 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 1/58
```

```
gw12 State Government
```

    State government?
            (Would you say it has a great deal of responsibility, some responsibility,
        or no responsibility for taking action to reduce global warming?
    | PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 34.1 | 329 | 1 | GREAT DEAL RESPONSIBILITY |
| 50.3 | 486 | 2 | SOME RESPONSIBILITY |
| 15.6 | 150 | 3 | NO RESPONSIBILITY |
|  | 29 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 6 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 1/59
gw14

MI Renewal Energy Requirement
Some states have required that a portion of the electricity produced in that state should be from renewable sources such as wind, solar or hydroelectric power.

As far as you know, does Michigan have a requirement for the production of renewable energy?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 22.9 | 145 | 1 | YES |
| 77.1 | 489 | 5 | NO |
|  | 366 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/60

```
gw15 Support Clean Coal Technology
```

    The government should increase support for clean coal technology.
    (Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly
        oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)
    | PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 44.4 | 421 | 1 | STRONGLY SUPPORT |
| 47.0 | 446 | 2 | SOMEWHAT SUPPORT |
| 4.2 | 40 | 3 | SOMEWHAT OPPOSE |
| 4.5 | 43 | 4 | STRONGLY OPPOSE |
|  | 41 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 10 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/61
gw16
Auto Makers Increase Fuel Efficiency
The government should require auto makers to increase the fuel efficiency of their vehicles.
(Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 63.8 | 632 | 1 | STRONGLY SUPPORT |
| 23.7 | 234 | 2 | SOMEWHAT SUPPORT |
| 5.6 | 55 | 3 | SOMEWHAT OPPOSE |
| 6.9 | 68 | 4 | STRONGLY OPPOSE |
|  | 8 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 3 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/62

```
gw17 Increase Taxes Gasoline
```

        The government should increase taxes on gasoline in order to reduce consumption.
    (Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 6.9 | 68 | 1 | STRONGLY SUPPORT |
| 16.1 | 160 | 2 | SOMEWHAT SUPPORT |
| 18.0 | 179 | 3 | SOMEWHAT OPPOSE |
| 59.0 | 586 | 4 | STRONGLY OPPOSE |
|  | 5 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 3 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/63
gw18
Increase Taxes Fossil Fuels
The government should increase taxes on all fossil fuels in order to reduce consumption.
(Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 7.2 | 69 | 1 | STRONGLY SUPPORT |
| 23.7 | 227 | 2 | SOMEWHAT SUPPORT |
| 20.7 | 199 | 3 | SOMEWHAT OPPOSE |
| 48.4 | 465 | 4 | STRONGLY OPPOSE |
|  | 28 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 13 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/64
gw19 Increase Support Ethanol
The government should increase support for the development of ethanol.
(Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 41.4 | 395 | 1 | STRONGLY SUPPORT |
| 37.8 | 361 | 2 | SOMEWHAT SUPPORT |
| 8.6 | 82 | 3 | SOMEWHAT OPPOSE |
| 12.1 | 116 | 4 | STRONGLY OPPOSE |
|  | 34 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 13 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4

Input location: 1/65
gw20
Surcharge Electricity Bills
The government should place a surcharge on electricity bills and use the money from the charge to support renewable energy development.
(Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 12.7 | 122 | 1 | STRONGLY SUPPORT |
| 29.5 | 284 | 2 | SOMEWHAT SUPPORT |
| 22.3 | 215 | 3 | SOMEWHAT OPPOSE |
| 35.6 | 343 | 4 | STRONGLY OPPOSE |
|  | 23 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 13 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/66

```
gw21 Increased Nuclear Power
    The government should support increased use of nuclear power to
        reduce green house gas emissions.
    (Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly
    oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    26.8 249 1 STRONGLY SUPPORT
    36.6 340 2 SOMEWHAT SUPPORT
    20.5 190 3 SOMEWHAT OPPOSE
    16.2 151 4 STRONGLY OPPOSE
            58 8 DO NOT KNOW
            14 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/67
The government should allow businesses to buy and sell permits to release green house gases as a means of reducing emissions.
(Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
13.9 & 124 & 1 & STRONGLY SUPPORT \\
35.5 & 314 & 2 & SOMEWHAT SUPPORT \\
22.4 & 199 & 3 & SOMEWHAT OPPOSE \\
28.1 & 249 & 4 & STRONGLY OPPOSE \\
& 97 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 18 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
```

Input location: 1/68
The government should require a set portion of all electricity to come
from renewable energy sources such as wind and solar power.
(Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly
oppose this as a method of reducing green house gas emission?)

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 47.2 | 461 | 1 | STRONGLY SUPPORT |
| 39.6 | 387 | 2 | SOMEWHAT SUPPORT |
| 6.9 | 67 | 3 | SOMEWHAT OPPOSE |
| 6.3 | 61 | 4 | STRONGLY OPPOSE |
|  | 11 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 13 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/69
If you had to pay extra money each year in order for more renewable
energy to be produced, how much would you be willing to pay?
Would you be willing to pay nothing each year, 1 to 49 dollars per year,
50 to 99 dollars a year, 100 to 250 dollars a year, 250 to 500 dollars
a year, or over 500 dollars a year?
PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
$23.8228 \quad 1$ NOTHING EACH YEAR
$26.1250 \quad 2 \quad \$ 1-49$ DOLLARS
$22.7 \quad 217 \quad 3 \quad \$ 50-99$ DOLLARS
$16.4157 \quad 4$ \$100-249 DOLLARS
$6.5 \quad 62 \quad 5 \quad \$ 250-499$ DOLLARS
$4.6 \quad 44 \quad 6$ OVER $\$ 500$ PER YEAR
308 DO NOT KNOW
139 REFUSED
1001 cases

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 1/70

```
en1
```

Concern Cost Energy
The next few questions focus on the cost of energy.
How concerned are you about the impact of rising energy costs on your monthly income?

Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not at all concerned?

PCT N VALUE LABEL
$56.6 \quad 566 \quad 1$ VERY CONCERNED
$35.6 \quad 356 \quad 2$ SOMEWHAT CONCERNED
$6.868 \quad 3$ NOT VERY CONCERNED
1.1414 NOT AT ALL CONCERNED

8 DO NOT KNOW
9 REFUSED
-- -
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/71
en2
Decisions Based on Cost Energy
How often do you make decisions about where you do business, go shopping, or go on vacation because of the cost of fuel?

Would you say very often, sometimes, seldom, or never?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 42.4 | 424 | 1 | VERY OFTEN |
| 31.9 | 319 | 2 | SOMETIMES |
| 16.0 | 160 | 3 | SELDOM |
| 9.6 | 96 | 4 | NEVER |
|  | 0 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | -- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 4

Input location: 1/72

```
en3 Changes Increase Energy Efficiency
        Are you currently considering making changes to your home such as adding
        insulation or a more efficient heating system as a way to keep energy
        costs down?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
40.7 & 403 & 1 & YES \\
59.3 & 587 & 5 & NO \\
& 9 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/73
en4
Cost Energy Problem
    Do you consider the cost of energy to be a short term problem or a long
    term problem?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    5.5 55 1 A SHORT TERM PROBLEM
    94.5 935 5 LONG TERM PROBLEM
            3 DO NOT KNOW
            8 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/74
```

    The next set of questions focus on Michigan-Canadian border security
    and travel between Michigan and Canada.
    How often do you travel from Michigan to Canada?
    Would you say weekly, a couple of times a month, at least once a month, a
    couple of times a year, once a year, or never?
    | PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0.4 | 4 | 1 | WEEKLY |
| 1.1 | 11 | 2 | COUPLE OF TIMES A MONTH |
| 2.5 | 25 | 3 | AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH |
| 21.6 | 214 | 4 | COUPLE OF TIMES A YEAR |
| 32.1 | 318 | 5 | ONCE A YEAR |
| 42.4 | 420 | 6 | NEVER |
|  | 6 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 3 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 1/75

```
bs2 Reason for Travel
    When you travel to Canada, is it primarily for business, pleasure,
        school, or something else?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
        4.8 27 1 BUSINESS
        93.0 531 2 PLEASURE
        0.2 1 3 SCHOOL
        0.7 4 4 COMBINATION BUSINESS/PLEASURE
        1.3 8 5 TRAVELING THROUGH TO OTHER DESTINATION
            1 DO NOT KNOW
            0 9 REFUSED
            429 . Not Applicable
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 1/76
bs3
Confience in Security
    How confident are you in the level of security at the
    Michigan-Canadian border?
    Would you say you are very confident, somewhat confident, not very
    confident, not confident at all?
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    24.7 242 1 VERY CONFIDENT
    50.4 495 2 SOMEWHAT CONFIDENT
    14.7 144 3 NOT VERY CONFIDENT
    10.3 101 4 NOT CONFIDENT AT ALL
        15 8 DO NOT KNOW
            4 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 1/77
```

bs4
Concern About Security
How concerned are you about security at the Michigan-Canadian border?

Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 24.7 | 247 | 1 | VERY CONCERNED |
| 34.5 | 344 | 2 | SOMEWHAT CONCERNED |
| 27.1 | 270 | 3 | NOT VERY CONCERNED |
| 13.7 | 137 | 4 | NOT CONCERNED AT ALL |
|  | 1 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 3 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 1/78
Next, please tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each of
the following statements.
Preventing terrorists from entering Michigan from Canada should be a
top priority for the State of Michigan.
Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree,
or strongly disagree?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 51.9 | 516 | 1 | STRONGLY AGREE |
| 28.3 | 281 | 2 | SOMEWHAT AGREE |
| 0.6 | 6 | 3 | NEITHER AGREE/DISAGREE |
| 13.3 | 132 | 4 | SOMEWHAT DISAGREE |
| 6.0 | 60 | 5 | STRONGLY DISAGREE |
|  | 5 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 1 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

```
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
```

Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 1/79

```
bs5b Current Driver's License Policy
```

    The current policy of requiring individuals to present driver's
    licenses when entering Michigan from Canada keeps terrorists from
    entering the United States.
    (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree,
    or strongly disagree?)
    | PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 23.2 | 227 | 1 | STRONGLY AGREE |
| 22.9 | 224 | 2 | SOMEWHAT AGREE |
| 0.5 | 5 | 3 | NEITHER AGREE/DISAGREE |
| 22.0 | 215 | 4 | SOMEWHAT DISAGREE |
| 31.4 | 307 | 5 | STRONGLY DISAGREE |
|  | 11 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 12 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Input location: 1/80

```
bs5c Requiring Passports
        Requiring individuals to present passports will do a better
        job of keeping terrorists from entering the United States through Canada.
        Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree,
        or strongly disagree?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
29.2 & 291 & 1 & STRONGLY AGREE \\
40.7 & 406 & 2 & SOMEWHAT AGREE \\
0.2 & 2 & 3 & NEITHER AGREE/DISAGREE \\
16.7 & 166 & 4 & SOMEWHAT DISAGREE \\
13.3 & 132 & 5 & STRONGLY DISAGREE \\
& 2 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 2 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Input location: 2/1
bs6a
Enhanced Driver's License
    Beginning next year, all travelers entering Michigan through
    Canada will need a passport. Michigan is considering an enhanced
    driver's license instead of a passport. It would have more
    information on it and it would cost less than a passport.
    Would you favor or oppose using an enhanced driver's license with more
    information on it, instead of a getting a passport?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
68.0 & 626 & 1 & FAVOR \\
32.0 & 294 & 5 & OPPOSE \\
& 72 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 9 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& -- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/2
```

            Would you favor or oppose having fingerprint information
            included on an enhanced driver's license?
        PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
        \(68.1 \quad 646 \quad 1\) FAVOR
        \(31.9 \quad 302 \quad 5 \quad\) OPPOSE
            298 DO NOT KNOW
            249 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    | Type: numeric | Min: 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decimals: | 0 | Max: 5 |$\quad$ MD Codes: 9,8

    Input location: 2/3
    bs6c
Social Security Numbers
Would you favor or oppose having social security numbers
included on an enhanced driver's license?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 12.3 | 122 | 1 | FAVOR |
| 87.7 | 869 | 5 | OPPOSE |
|  | 10 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

    Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/4
    ```
bs6d Biometric Info
    Would you favor or oppose the state sharing biometric
        information such as photographs and fingerprints on anyone
        crossing the border with federal and state agencies?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        77.5 746 1 FAVOR
        22.5 217 5 OPPOSE
            32 8 DO NOT KNOW
            5 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/5
```

Likely Use Enhanced License
If approved, how likely would you be to apply for an enhanced driver's license?

Would you be very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely or very unlikely?

PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
$27.1267 \quad 1$ VERY LIKELY
$30.6 \quad 302 \quad 2$ SOMEWHAT LIKELY
$13.6134 \quad 3$ SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY
$28.7283 \quad 4$ VERY UNLIKELY
148 DO NOT KNOW
19 REFUSED

1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 4
Input location: 2/6
SPLIT THIRDS - RANDOM1 EQ 1
Next I have some questions about higher education and factors that may or
may not help people get ahead in life.
Colleges and universities give admissions preferences to many groups
of students, such as those from families that can afford
to pay full tuition.
Should there also be preferences in admissions for blacks and Hispanics?
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { PCT } & N \\ 42.4 & 132\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}57.6 & 179\end{array}$
21
7
661 . Not Applicable
VALUE LABEL
1 YES, PREFERENCES
5 NO, PREFERENCES
8 DO NOT KNOW
9 REFUSED
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/7

```
gl3v2 Preferences - Version II
```

```
    SPLIT THIRDS - RANDOM1 EQ 2
```

    Next I have some questions about higher education and factors that may or
    may not help people get ahead in life.
    Colleges and universities give admissions preferences to many groups of
    students such as athletes, children of alumni, and artists and musicians.
    Should there also be preferences in admissions for blacks and Hispanics?
    PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
    $57.3180 \quad 1$ YES, PREFERENCES
$42.7134 \quad 5$ NO, PREFERENCES
108 DO NOT KNOW
59 REFUSED
671 . Not Applicable
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/8
gl3v3 Preferences - Version III

## SPLIT THIRDS - RANDOM1 EQ 3

Next I have some questions about higher education and factors that may or may not help people get ahead in life.

Colleges and universities give admissions preferences to many groups of students such as athletes, children of alumni, and artists and musicians.

Is it right for preferences in admissions for blacks and Hispanics to be taken away?

PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
29.4921 YES, RIGHT TO TAKE PREFERENCES
70.62225 NO, NOT RIGHT TO TAKE PREFERENCES

68 DO NOT KNOW
129 REFUSED
669 . Not Applicable
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 2/9
Please tell me how important you think each of the following is for
getting ahead in life.
First, how important is it to come from a wealthy family?
Is it essential, very important, fairly important, not very important,
or not important at all for getting ahead in life?
PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
$3.534 \quad 1$ ESSENTIAL
$20.0197 \quad 2$ VERY IMPORTANT
$28.6 \quad 281 \quad 3$ FAIRLY IMPORTANT
$29.8293 \quad 4$ NOT VERY IMPORTANT
18.1 $178 \quad 5$ NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL
158 DO NOT KNOW
49 REFUSED
1001 cases

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/10

```
gl4b Hard Work
    Hard work?
    (Is it essential, very important, fairly important, not very important,
        or not important at all for getting ahead in life?)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
27.9 & 277 & 1 & ESSENTIAL \\
62.0 & 617 & 2 & VERY IMPORTANT \\
7.4 & 74 & 3 & FAIRLY IMPORTANT \\
1.7 & 17 & 4 & NOT VERY IMPORTANT \\
1.0 & 10 & 5 & NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL \\
& 1 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 5 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& -- & \\
& 1001 & cases
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/11
gl4c
Knowing Right People
        Knowing the right people?
        (Is it essential, very important, fairly important, not very important,
        or not important at all for getting ahead in life?)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
        8.7 86 1 ESSENTIAL
    33.2 329 2 VERY IMPORTANT
    43.7 433 3 FAIRLY IMPORTANT
    11.8 117 4 NOT VERY IMPORTANT
        2.6 26 5 NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL
        4 8 DO NOT KNOW
        6 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
```

    Input location: 2/12
    ```
msue1
    Developing Entrepreneurs
```

        Developing entrepreneurs?
    (PROBE: This includes working with individuals to assist in new businesses
        and with communities in fostering an entrepreneurial climate.)
    (Should this be given high priority, some priority, or little or no
    priority in MSU Extension's future efforts?)
    | PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 51.9 | 509 | 1 | HIGH PRIORITY |
| 38.3 | 376 | 2 | SOME PRIORITY |
| 9.8 | 96 | 3 | LITTLE OR NO PRIORITY |
|  | 10 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 10 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

```
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
```

Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/13
msue2 Promoting Healthy Lifestyles
Promoting healthy lifestyles?
(PROBE: "This includes educational programs on nutrition and exercise as well as programs to protect Michigan's natural resources and environment".)
(Should this be given high priority, some priority, or little or no priority in MSU Extension's future efforts?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 68.1 | 672 | 1 | HIGH PRIORITY |
| 27.5 | 272 | 2 | SOME PRIORITY |
| 4.4 | 43 | 3 | LITTLE OR NO PRIORITY |
|  | 6 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 8 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | -- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |


| Type: numeric | Min: 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decimals: 0 | Max: 3 |$\quad$ MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 2/14

```
msue3
    Preparing Bio-Economy
    Preparing for the bio-economy?
    (PROBE: "This includes providing information on new technologies for
                utilizing the vast biological resources of the state for
                energy and other products traditionally utilizing petroleum.")
    (Should this be given high priority, some priority, or little or no
    priority in MSU Extension's future efforts?)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    48.1 467 1 HIGH PRIORITY
    42.9 416 2 SOME PRIORITY
    9.1 88 3 LITTLE OR NO PRIORITY
            22 8 DO NOT KNOW
            8 ( REFUSED
        ---
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/15
```

```
msue4 Educating Supporting Decision Makers
```

```
    Educating and supporting decision makers?
    (PROBE: "This includes individuals, families, local communities,
        governmental leaders and private sector firms."
    (Should this be given high priority, some priority, or little or no
        priority in MSU Extension's future efforts?)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    54.3 533 1 HIGH PRIORITY
    40.3 396 2 SOME PRIORITY
    5.3 52 3 LITTLE OR NO PRIORITY
            8 8 DO NOT KNOW
            11 9 REFUSED
        ---
        1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/16
```

```
msue5 Building Leaders
    Building leaders for today and tomorrow?
    (PROBE: "This includes youth and adult programs focused upon
                        leadership, mentoring and citizenship.")
    (Should this be given high priority, some priority, or little or no
        priority in MSU Extension's future efforts?)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    63.6 628 1 HIGH PRIORITY
    32.3 319 2 SOME PRIORITY
    4.0 40 3 LITTLE OR NO PRIORITY
            7 8 DO NOT KNOW
            7 9 REFUSED
        ---
        1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
Input location: 2/17
```

```
msue6
```

Most Important MSUE
Of the five areas, which one do you think is the most important for Michigan State University Extension to focus future efforts to assist in strengthening the economy and improving the quality of life for Michigan residents?

Would you say . . .
Developing entrepreneurs, promoting healthy lifestyles, preparing for the bio-economy, educating and supporting decision makers, or building leaders for today and tomorrow?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 18.0 | 175 | 1 | DEVELOPING ENTREPRENEURS |
| 25.7 | 249 | 2 | PROMOTING HEALTHY LIFESTYLES |
| 16.1 | 156 | 3 | PREPARING FOR BIO-ECONOMY |
| 14.6 | 141 | 4 | EDUCATING/SUPPORTING DECISION-MAKERS |
| 25.5 | 247 | 5 | BUILDING LEADERS |
|  | 22 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 11 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/18

## CD1 Sex

Finally, I have a few background questions.

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 49.6 | 496 | 1 | MALE |
| 50.4 | 505 | 5 | FEMALE |
|  | ---- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: none
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/19

Michigan State of the State 46

CD2

## Year Born

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0 | YEAR OF BIRTH |
| 0.5 | 5 | 15 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 17 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 19 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 20 |  |
| 0.2 | 2 | 21 |  |
| 0.1 | 1 | 23 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 24 |  |
| 0.7 | 7 | 25 |  |
| 0.3 | 3 | 26 |  |
| 0.8 | 7 | 27 |  |
| 0.6 | 6 | 28 |  |
| 0.8 | 8 | 29 |  |
| 1.3 | 12 | 30 |  |
| 0.7 | 7 | 31 |  |
| 0.8 | 7 | 32 |  |
| 0.8 | 8 | 33 |  |
| 0.6 | 6 | 34 |  |
| 0.8 | 8 | 35 |  |
| 1.3 | 12 | 36 |  |
| 1.0 | 10 | 37 |  |
| 0.8 | 7 | 38 |  |
| 0.4 | 4 | 39 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 40 |  |
| 0.9 | 8 | 41 |  |
| 1.7 | 16 | 42 |  |
| 0.8 | 8 | 43 |  |
| 0.7 | 7 | 44 |  |
| 1.1 | 10 | 45 |  |
| 0.9 | 9 | 46 |  |
| 1.7 | 16 | 47 |  |
| 1.9 | 18 | 48 |  |
| 1.1 | 11 | 49 |  |
| 1.2 | 12 | 50 |  |
| 2.0 | 20 | 51 |  |
| 2.2 | 21 | 52 |  |
| 1.5 | 14 | 53 |  |
| 1.3 | 13 | 54 |  |
| 1.6 | 15 | 55 |  |
| 1.4 | 13 | 56 |  |
| 1.2 | 11 | 57 |  |
| 1.8 | 18 | 58 |  |
| 1.4 | 14 | 59 |  |


| 1.2 | 11 | 60 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.5 | 24 | 61 |
| 2.9 | 28 | 62 |
| 2.7 | 26 | 63 |
| 1.7 | 16 | 64 |
| 3.3 | 31 | 65 |
| 2.1 | 20 | 66 |
| 1.4 | 14 | 67 |
| 1.5 | 15 | 68 |
| 3.1 | 30 | 69 |
| 3.6 | 35 | 70 |
| 2.2 | 21 | 71 |
| 1.9 | 18 | 72 |
| 1.7 | 16 | 73 |
| 2.2 | 21 | 74 |
| 1.7 | 17 | 75 |
| 1.4 | 13 | 76 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 77 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 78 |
| 4.6 | 45 | 79 |
| 1.3 | 13 | 80 |
| 0.9 | 8 | 81 |
| 1.3 | 12 | 82 |
| 1.2 | 11 | 83 |
| 0.0 | 0 | 84 |
| 4.1 | 40 | 85 |
| 2.6 | 25 | 86 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 87 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 88 |
| 2.9 | 28 | 89 |
|  | 4 | 98 |
|  | 32 | 99 |
|  | -- |  |
|  | 1001 | Cases |
|  |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 15 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 89
Input location: 2/20-21

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0 | DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL |
| 0.0 | 0 | 1 | GRADE |
| 0.0 | 0 | 3 | GRADE |
| 0.9 | 9 | 8 | GRADE |
| 0.3 | 3 | 9 | GRADE |
| 1.8 | 18 | 10 | GRADE |
| 1.9 | 19 | 11 | GRADE |
| 25.1 | 250 | 12 | HIGH SCHOOL GRAD OR GED |
| 12.1 | 121 | 13 | COLLEGE (1 year) |
| 14.5 | 144 | 14 | COLLEGE (2 YEARS) |
| 5.0 | 50 | 15 | COLLEGE (3 YEARS) |
| 18.3 | 182 | 16 | COLLEGE GRADUATE |
| 4.7 | 47 | 17 | SOME POST GRADUATE |
| 11.3 | 112 | 18 | GRADUATE DEGREE |
| 4.1 | 41 | 20 | TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRAD |
|  | 0 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 5 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | -- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 0 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 20

Input location: 2/22-23

```
CD5a Ethnicity
    Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?
```

        PCT \(N\) VALUE LABEL
        \(3.333 \quad 1\) YES, HISPANIC
    \(96.7 \quad 959 \quad 5 \quad\) NO, NOT HISPANIC
        \(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 8\end{array}\)
        --
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Input location: 2/24

```
CD4a@a Race - White/Caucasian
    What is your race?
    White?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
77.5 & 773 & 1 & YES \\
22.5 & 224 & 5 & NO \\
& 0 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 4 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/25
CD4a@b Race - African American or Black
    What is your race?
    African American or Black?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
    13.3 133 1 YES
    86.7 864 5 NO
            0 8 DO NOT KNOW
            4 ( REFUSED
```



```
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/26
```

```
CD4a@c Race - Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
            What is your race?
            Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?
            PCT N VALUE LABEL
            0.1 1 1 YES
            99.9 996 5 NO
            0 8 DO NOT KNOW
            4 }9\mathrm{ REFUSED
            1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/27
CD4a@d Race - Asian
        What is your race?
        Asian?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        0.2 2 1 YES
        99.8 995 5 NO
            0 8 DO NOT KNOW
            4 }9\mathrm{ REFUSED
            1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/28
```

```
CD4a@e Race - American Indian or Alaska Native?
    What is your race?
    American Indian or Alaska Native?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        2.0 20 1 YES
        98.0 977 5 NO
            0 8 DO NOT KNOW
            4 9 REFUSED
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/29
CD4a@f Race Other
        What is your race?
        Other?
    PCT 
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/30
```

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views?
(Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 9.8 | 91 | 0 | NONE |
| 26.2 | 244 | 1 | CATHOLIC |
| 0.6 | 5 | 2 | ISLAMIC/MUSLIM |
| 1.4 | 13 | 3 | JEWISH |
| 58.3 | 543 | 4 | PROTESTANT |
| 2.6 | 24 | 5 | OTHER NON CHRISTIAN |
| 1.2 | 11 | 7 | OTHER CHRISTIAN |
| 0.0 | 0 | 8 | UNABLE TO CLASSIFY |
|  | 57 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 14 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $0 \quad$ MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 2/31-32

Political Party ID
Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 9.8 | 95 | 0 | OTHER |
| 22.8 | 221 | 1 | REPUULICAN |
| 33.9 | 328 | 4 | INDEPENDENT |
| 33.5 | 324 | 7 | DEMOCRAT |
|  | 19 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 13 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |


| Type: numeric | Min: 0 | MD Codes: 9, 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | Decimals: 0 Max: 7

Input location: 2/33

Republican
Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 62.0 | 135 | 1 | STRONGLY REPUBLICAN |
| 38.0 | 83 | 2 | NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN |
|  | 3 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 780 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 2
Input location: 2/34

```
CD7@c Democrat
            Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong
            Democrat?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
    35.4 115 6 NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT
    64.6 209 7 STRONG DEMOCRAT
                            0 8 NO NOT KNOW
            0 9 REFUSED
            6 7 7 \text { . Not Applicable}
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 6 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 7
    Input location: 2/35
```

```
CD7@d
```

                                    Independent
            Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party
            or the Republican Party?
    | PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 28.3 | 92 | 3 | REPUBLICAN |
| 30.6 | 100 | 4 | NEITHER |
| 41.1 | 134 | 5 | DEMOCRAT |
|  | 1 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 673 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 3 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/36

```
partyid
```

Political Party Preference

| PCT | $N$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9.9 | 95 |
| 14.0 | 135 |
| 8.6 | 83 |
| 9.6 | 92 |
| 10.4 | 100 |
| 13.9 | 134 |
| 11.9 | 115 |
| 21.7 | 209 |
|  | 19 |
|  | 13 |
|  | 4 |
|  | --- |
|  | 1001 cases |

VALUE LABEL
0 OTHER PARTY, OTHER
1 strong republican
2 not strong republican
lean republican
neither
5 lean democrat
6 not strong democrat
7 strong democrat
8 DON'T KNOW
9 REFUSED
. Not Applicable
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: $0 \quad$ MD Codes: 8,9 Decimals: 0 Max: 7

Input location: 2/37

Political Ideology
Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a moderate, or a liberal?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1.4 | 13 | 0 | OTHER |
| 40.0 | 381 | 1 | CONSERVATIVE |
| 36.3 | 346 | 4 | NEITHER |
| 22.3 | 212 | 7 | LIBERAL |
|  | 30 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 18 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $0 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 2/38

P17@b
Conservative
Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 38.5 | 146 | 1 | VERY CONSERVATIVE |
| 61.5 | 233 | 2 | SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE |
|  | 1 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 620 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 2
Input location: 2/39

P17@c
Liberal
Would you consider yourself very liberal or somewhat liberal?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 62.8 | 131 | 6 | SOMEWHAT LIBERAL |
| 37.2 | 78 | 7 | VERY LIBERAL |
|  | 4 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 789 | . | Not Applicable |
| --- |  |  |  |


| Type: numeric | Min: 6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decimals: 0 | Max: 7 | MD Codes: 9,8 |

Input location: 2/40

P17@d
Middle/Neither
Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 39.1 | 133 | 3 | CLOSER CONSERVATIVE |
| 17.5 | 60 | 4 | IN THE MIDDLE |
| 43.4 | 148 | 5 | CLOSER LIBERAL |
|  | 2 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 4 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 655 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: 3 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/41

```
ideology Degree Liberal-Conservative
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
        0.0 0
        15.7 146
        25.1 233
        14.3 133
        6.4 60
        15.9 148
        14.1 131
        8.4 78
            30
            18
            25
```



```
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 7
    Input location: 2/42
```

CD8
Marital Status
What is your marital status?
(Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an
unmarried couple, or have you never been married?)

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 59.8 | 597 | 1 | MARRIED |
| 9.4 | 94 | 2 | DIVORCED |
| 0.4 | 4 | 3 | SEPARATED |
| 5.6 | 56 | 4 | WIDOWED |
| 1.2 | 12 | 5 | MEMBER UNMARRIED COUPLE |
| 23.6 | 236 | 6 | SINGLE NEVER BEEN MARRIED |
|  | 0 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 2/43

Adults HH
Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 16.9 | 169 | 1 | ADULTS |
| 55.7 | 558 | 2 | ADULTS |
| 15.7 | 157 | 3 | ADULTS |
| 6.8 | 68 | 4 | ADULTS |
| 4.5 | 45 | 5 | ADULTS |
| 0.2 | 2 | 6 | ADULTS |
| 0.2 | 2 | 7 | ADULTS |
|  | 0 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 2/44-45

Children HH
How many children under the age of 18 are currently living in your household?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 51.8 | 516 | 0 | NO CHILDREN |
| 18.2 | 181 | 1 | CHILD |
| 23.4 | 233 | 2 | CHILDREN |
| 4.9 | 49 | 3 | CHILDREN |
| 0.9 | 9 | 4 | CHILDREN |
| 0.3 | 3 | 5 | CHILDREN |
| 0.5 | 5 | 6 | CHILDREN |
| 0.0 | 0 | 7 | CHILDREN |
|  | 0 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 4 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Type: numeric } & \text { Min: } 0 & \text { MD Codes: 9,8 } \\ \text { Decimals: } 0 & \text { Max: } 7 & \end{array}$
Input location: 2/46

CD15 Employment Status
We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a homemaker or something else?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 46.2 | 456 | 1 | WORK FULL TIME |
| 14.2 | 140 | 2 | WORK PART TIME |
| 4.0 | 39 | 3 | WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL |
| 0.0 | 0 | 4 | IN THE ARMED FORCES |
| 0.3 | 3 | 5 | JOB, DID NOT WORK LAST WEEK |
| 2.1 | 21 | 6 | UNEMPLOYED |
| 15.3 | 151 | 7 | RETIRED |
| 4.2 | 42 | 8 | SCHOOL FULL-TIME |
| 9.5 | 94 | 9 | HOMEMAKER |
| 4.3 | 42 | 10 | DISABLED |
| 0.0 | 0 | 97 | MISCELLANEOUS |
|  | 11 | 98 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 2 | 99 | REFUSED |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $11 \quad$ MD Codes: 99,98
Decimals: 0 Max: 10
Input location: 2/47-48

UN1 Union Member

Are you currently a member of a union or are you represented by a union?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 23.0 | 147 | 1 | YES |
| 77.0 | 490 | 5 | NO |
|  | 1 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 363 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 cases |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8 Decimals: 0 Max: 5

Input location: 2/49

```
UN2
    Past Union Member
    Have you ever been a member of a union or represented by a union?
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        33.3 282 1 YES
        66.7 566
            4
                2
            147
            ----
            1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/50
UN3
                    Family Union Member
        Is anyone else in your household a member of a union or represented by a
        union?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
21.0 & 173 & 1 & YES \\
79.0 & 652 & 5 & NO \\
& 48 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 125 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 cases & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/51
```

```
inca Household income (More or less than $30,000)
        To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the
        general range of incomes of all households we interview. This is
        for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly
        confidential. Now, thinking about your household's total annual
        income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive
        $30,000 or more in 2006?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
78.3 & 718 & 1 & YES \\
21.7 & 199 & 5 & NO \\
& 22 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 62 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& --- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/52
```

incb
Household income: less than \$20,000?
Was it less than \$20,000?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 61.6 | 122 | 1 | YES |
| 38.4 | 76 | 5 | NO |
|  | 1 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 1 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 802 | $\cdot$ | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/53

```
incc Household income: less than $10,000?
    Was it less than $10,000?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
32.2 & 38 & 1 & YES \\
67.8 & 81 & 5 & NOT \\
& 2 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 879 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
    Input location: 2/54
```

incd Household income: \$60,000 or more
Was it \$60,000 or more?

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 64.3 | 455 | 1 | YES |
| 35.7 | 253 | 5 | NO |
|  | 3 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 7 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | 283 | . | Not Applicable |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 cases |  |  |

    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 2/55
    ```
ince Household income: $40,000 or more?
    Was it $40,000 or more?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
66.2 & 164 & 1 & YES \\
33.8 & 84 & 5 & NO \\
& 1 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 3 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 748 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: \(1 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,8
    Input location: 2/56
```

```
incf
    Household income: $50,000 or more?
    Was it $50,000 or more?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
59.2 & 96 & 1 & YES \\
40.8 & 66 & 5 & NO \\
& 3 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 0 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 837 & \(\cdot\) & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9,8
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
```

    Input location: 2/57
    ```
incg Household income: more than $70,000?
    Was it more than $70,000?
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
67.4 & 296 & 1 & YES \\
32.6 & 143 & 5 & NO \\
& 14 & 8 & DO NOT KNOW \\
& 1 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& 546 &. & Not Applicable \\
& --- & &
\end{tabular}
```

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8

Input location: 2/58

| income | household income (gathered responses) |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |  |
|  | 62 | 0 | REFUSED |  |
| 4.2 | 38 | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ or less |  |
| 9.1 | 83 | 2 | $\$ 10,000-19,999$ |  |
| 8.5 | 78 | 3 | $\$ 20,000-29,999$ |  |
| 10.7 | 99 | 4 | $\$ 30,000-39,999$ |  |
| 7.5 | 69 | 5 | $\$ 40,000-49,999$ |  |
| 10.4 | 96 | 6 | $\$ 50,000-59,999$ |  |
| 17.3 | 159 | 7 | $\$ 60,000-69,999$ |  |
| 32.3 | 296 | 8 | 970,000 or more |  |
|  | ---- | 9 | DON'T KNOW |  |

Input location: 2/59

Phone Lines
How many different phone numbers does your household have, not including cell phones?

| PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 92.4 | 925 | 1 | PHONE NUMBERS |
| 6.7 | 67 | 2 | PHONE NUMBERS |
| 0.7 | 7 | 3 | PHONE NUMBERS |
| 0.2 | 2 | 4 | PHONE NUMBERS |
| 0.0 | 0 | 7 | PHONE NUMBERS |
|  | 0 | 8 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | 0 | 9 | REFUSED |
|  | -- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 7
Input location: 2/60


```
zipcode ZipCode
    What is your zip code?
    1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 8 MD Codes: none
    Decimals: 0 Max: 49963
```

    Input location: 2/63-67
    RI
Re-Interview

In a couple of months, we'd like to re-contact some of the people we've spoken with for another interview either in person or on the web. Would you be willing to participate again in a couple of months?

PCT N
76.9557
23.1167

11
VALUE LABEL
1 YES
5 NO
8 DO NOT KNOW
9 REFUSED
Not Applicable
263
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 9,8
Decimals: 0 Max: 5
Input location: 2/68

Michigan State of the State 46

```
contacts
    PCT N VALUE
    0.1 1 missing
    19.9 199 1
    14.7 147 2
    13.2 132 3
    13.7 137 4
    6.1 61 5
    7.6 76 6
    3.8 38 7
    5.4 54 8
    4.8 48 9
    2.3 23 10
    2.2 22 11
    3.0 30 12
    0.2 2 13
    0.1 1 14
    0.0 0 15
    0.0 0 16
    2.8 28 17
        1001 cases
    Type: character Width: 2
    Input location: 3/51-52
```

Michigan State of the State 46
length
Interview Length

| PCT | N | VALUE |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 1.4 | 14 | missing |
| 4.9 | 49 | 0 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 14 |
| 1.6 | 16 | 15 |
| 2.4 | 24 | 16 |
| 4.8 | 48 | 17 |
| 6.9 | 69 | 18 |
| 7.5 | 75 | 19 |
| 9.7 | 97 | 20 |
| 9.6 | 96 | 21 |
| 9.8 | 98 | 22 |
| 7.6 | 76 | 23 |
| 7.7 | 77 | 24 |
| 4.4 | 44 | 25 |
| 5.2 | 52 | 26 |
| 3.2 | 32 | 27 |
| 5.3 | 53 | 28 |
| 1.3 | 13 | 29 |
| 2.3 | 23 | 30 |
| 1.3 | 13 | 31 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 32 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 33 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 34 |
| 0.6 | 6 | 35 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 36 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 4
Input location: 3/53-56

```
idate Interview Date
\begin{tabular}{lrc} 
PCT & N & VALUE \\
0.1 & 1 & missing \\
2.0 & 20 & 10102007 \\
1.4 & 14 & 10112007 \\
0.9 & 9 & 10122007 \\
0.9 & 9 & 10132007 \\
1.2 & 12 & 10142007 \\
1.3 & 13 & 10152007 \\
1.5 & 15 & 10162007 \\
2.4 & 24 & 10172007 \\
1.1 & 11 & 10182007 \\
1.7 & 17 & 10192007 \\
1.1 & 11 & 10202007 \\
2.0 & 20 & 10212007 \\
1.6 & 16 & 10222007 \\
3.8 & 38 & 10232007 \\
6.4 & 64 & 10242007 \\
1.8 & 18 & 10252007 \\
1.0 & 10 & 10262007 \\
1.7 & 17 & 10272007 \\
2.4 & 24 & 10282007 \\
4.5 & 45 & 10292007 \\
3.7 & 37 & 10302007 \\
3.0 & 30 & 10312007 \\
2.6 & 26 & 11012007 \\
1.8 & 18 & 11022007 \\
0.5 & 5 & 11032007 \\
3.1 & 31 & 11042007 \\
1.7 & 17 & 11052007 \\
4.0 & 40 & 11062007 \\
5.3 & 53 & 11072007 \\
1.4 & 14 & 11082007 \\
2.2 & 22 & 11092007 \\
1.9 & 19 & 11102007 \\
6.6 & 66 & 11112007 \\
1.8 & 18 & 11122007 \\
1.1 & 11 & 11132007 \\
1.4 & 14 & 11142007 \\
1.3 & 13 & 11152007 \\
1.7 & 17 & 11162007 \\
1.3 & 13 & 11172007 \\
2.3 & 23 & 11182007 \\
3.4 & 34 & 11192007 \\
0.5 & 5 & 11202007 \\
0.1 & 1 & 11212007 \\
1.2 & 12 & 11242007 \\
& &
\end{tabular}
```

Michigan State of the State 46
Page 81

| 2.0 | 20 | 11252007 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.3 | 34 | 11262007 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 8
Input location: 3/57-64

Michigan State of the State 46

| iwer |  |  | Interviewer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PCT | N | VALUE |
|  | 0.1 | 1 | missing |
|  | 0.4 | 4 | 121 |
|  | 0.2 | 2 | 160 |
|  | 1.1 | 11 | 169 |
|  | 0.6 | 6 | 170 |
|  | 0.0 | 0 | 186 |
|  | 0.5 | 5 | 201 |
|  | 3.0 | 30 | 202 |
|  | 1.1 | 11 | 205 |
|  | 2.5 | 25 | 206 |
|  | 0.4 | 4 | 211 |
|  | 2.6 | 26 | 212 |
|  | 0.1 | 1 | 215 |
|  | 0.1 | 1 | 216 |
|  | 3.5 | 35 | 217 |
|  | 0.7 | 7 | 222 |
|  | 0.2 | 2 | 223 |
|  | 0.5 | 5 | 224 |
|  | 4.5 | 45 | 226 |
|  | 0.5 | 5 | 227 |
|  | 0.1 | 1 | 228 |
|  | 2.1 | 21 | 233 |
|  | 0.7 | 7 | 236 |
|  | 0.0 | 0 | 281 |
|  | 1.7 | 17 | 289 |
|  | 2.3 | 23 | 292 |
|  | 1.0 | 10 | 374 |
|  | 4.6 | 46 | 427 |
|  | 2.7 | 27 | 435 |
|  | 0.6 | 6 | 450 |
|  | 4.4 | 44 | 458 |
|  | 0.1 | 1 | 463 |
|  | 2.7 | 27 | 470 |
|  | 2.7 | 27 | 471 |
|  | 2.6 | 26 | 479 |
|  | 3.5 | 35 | 480 |
|  | 8.5 | 85 | 482 |
|  | 1.2 | 12 | 484 |
|  | 3.3 | 33 | 485 |
|  | 0.0 | 0 | 486 |
|  | 1.0 | 10 | 487 |
|  | 4.9 | 49 | 488 |
|  | 2.5 | 25 | 489 |
|  | 1.2 | 12 | 490 |
|  | 3.5 | 35 | 492 |


| 5.4 | 54 | 494 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 2.3 | 23 | 495 |
| 1.9 | 19 | 496 |
| 7.4 | 74 | 497 |
| 2.4 | 24 | 80 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 3
Input location: 3/65-67
males

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 11.8 | 118 | 0 |
| 70.5 | 706 | 1 |
| 14.9 | 149 | 2 |
| 2.1 | 21 | 3 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 4 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 5 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 2
Input location: 3/68-69
females
Females HH

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | 0 |  |
| 7.8 | 78 | 0 |
| 72.9 | 730 | 1 |
| 12.6 | 127 | 2 |
| 6.6 | 66 | 3 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 4 |
|  | --- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: character Width: 2
Input location: 3/70-71

```
races Respondent Race
        Recoded race of respondent (multiple responses coded
        to a single group)
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    83.4 777
    14.2 133
    0.0 0 3 Hawaiian Pacific Islander
    0.2 2 4 Asian
    2.1 20 5 Native American
            69 . Not Applicable
    1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: none
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 4/57
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
agecat & & Rs age in categories \\
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
12.4 & 119 & 1 & \(18-24 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
8.8 & 85 & 2 & \(25-29 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
20.2 & 195 & 3 & \(30-39 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
21.1 & 204 & 4 & \(40-49 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
15.4 & 149 & 5 & \(50-59 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
5.2 & 51 & 6 & \(60-64 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
16.9 & 163 & 7 & 65 or older \\
& 37 & 9 & REFUSED \\
& ---1001 cases
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9
    Decimals: 0 Max: 7
    Input location: 4/58
```

```
adjwt Weight adj for phones adults race gender age within region
    1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 0.0347 MD Codes: 0.0000
    Decimals: 4 Max: 12.2242
    Input location: 4/59-64
```

msueregn
Region code based on MSU Extension Groupings
PCT $N$ VALUE LABEL
$\begin{array}{lll}3.4 & 34 & 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}5.7 & 57 & 2 & \text { NORTH LP }\end{array}$
14.21423 W. CENTRAL
$\begin{array}{llll}8.7 & 87 & 4 & \text { E. CENTRAL }\end{array}$
$13.8 \quad 138 \quad 5 \quad$ SOUTHWEST
$54.3 \quad 544 \quad 6 \quad$ SOUTHEAST URBAN
---
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: $1 \quad$ MD Codes: 0
Decimals: 0 Max: 6
Input location: 4/65
msuewt weight by MSU region
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 0.0033 MD Codes: none
Decimals: 4 Max: 1.8286
Input location: 4/66-71
statewt Weight for Statewide Estimates
1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 0.0184 MD Codes: none
Decimals: 4 Max: 27.7638
Input location: 4/74-79
December 7, 2007

```
rac3
    Race 3 categories
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        6.9 69 0 Refuse-Not codable
    77.6 777 1 White
    13.2 133 2 African American
    2.2 22 3 Other
        1001 cases
```

    Type: numeric Min: \(0 \quad\) MD Codes: 9,7
    Decimals: 0 Max: 3
    Input location: 4/80
    | AGE |  |  | Age o | Respondent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PCT | N | VALUE | LABEL |
|  |  | 37 | 0 | REFUSED/MISSING |
|  | 2.9 | 28 | 18 |  |
|  | 0.7 | 7 | 19 |  |
|  | 0.8 | 8 | 20 |  |
|  | 2.6 | 25 | 21 |  |
|  | 4.1 | 40 | 22 |  |
|  | 0.0 | 0 | 23 |  |
|  | 1.2 | 11 | 24 |  |
|  | 1.3 | 12 | 25 |  |
|  | 0.9 | 8 | 26 |  |
|  | 1.3 | 13 | 27 |  |
|  | 4.6 | 45 | 28 |  |
|  | 0.7 | 7 | 29 |  |
|  | 0.9 | 9 | 30 |  |
|  | 1.4 | 13 | 31 |  |
|  | 1.7 | 17 | 32 |  |
|  | 2.2 | 21 | 33 |  |
|  | 1.7 | 16 | 34 |  |
|  | 1.9 | 18 | 35 |  |
|  | 2.2 | 21 | 36 |  |
|  | 3.6 | 35 | 37 |  |
|  | 3.1 | 30 | 38 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 15 | 39 |  |
|  | 1.4 | 14 | 40 |  |
|  | 2.1 | 20 | 41 |  |
|  | 3.3 | 31 | 42 |  |
|  | 1.7 | 16 | 43 |  |
|  | 2.7 | 26 | 44 |  |
|  | 2.9 | 28 | 45 |  |
|  | 2.5 | 24 | 46 |  |
|  | 1.2 | 11 | 47 |  |
|  | 1.4 | 14 | 48 |  |
|  | 1.8 | 18 | 49 |  |
|  | 1.2 | 11 | 50 |  |
|  | 1.4 | 13 | 51 |  |
|  | 1.6 | 15 | 52 |  |
|  | 1.3 | 13 | 53 |  |
|  | 1.5 | 14 | 54 |  |
|  | 2.2 | 21 | 55 |  |
|  | 2.0 | 20 | 56 |  |
|  | 1.2 | 12 | 57 |  |
|  | 1.1 | 11 | 58 |  |
|  | 1.9 | 18 | 59 |  |
|  | 1.7 | 16 | 60 |  |
|  | 0.9 | 9 | 61 |  |


| 1.1 | 10 | 62 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.7 | 7 | 63 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 64 |
| 1.7 | 16 | 65 |
| 0.9 | 8 | 66 |
| 0.9 | 9 | 67 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 68 |
| 0.8 | 7 | 69 |
| 1.0 | 10 | 70 |
| 1.3 | 12 | 71 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 72 |
| 0.6 | 6 | 73 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 74 |
| 0.8 | 7 | 75 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 76 |
| 1.3 | 12 | 77 |
| 0.8 | 8 | 78 |
| 0.6 | 6 | 79 |
| 0.8 | 7 | 80 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 81 |
| 0.7 | 7 | 82 |
| 0.4 | 4 | 83 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 84 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 86 |
| 0.3 | 3 | 87 |
| 0.2 | 2 | 88 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 90 |
| 0.5 | 5 | 92 |
|  | -- |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |

Type: numeric Min: 18 MD Codes: 0
Decimals: 0 Max: 92
Input location: 4/81-83

```
imprace Respondent Race with Imputation for missing data
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    0 0 0 Refuse-Not codable
    83.0 831 1 White
    14.7 148 2 African American
    2.2 22 3 Other
        1001 cases
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
Decimals: 0 Max: 3
```

Input location: 4/84
newinc

| PCT | $N$ | VALUE | LABEL |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 62 | 0 | REFUSED |
| 4.2 | 38 | 1 | $\$ 10,000$ or less |
| 9.1 | 83 | 2 | $\$ 10,000-19,999$ |
| 8.5 | 78 | 3 | $\$ 20,000-29,999$ |
| 10.7 | 99 | 4 | $\$ 30,000-39,999$ |
| 7.5 | 69 | 5 | $\$ 40,000-49,999$ |
| 10.4 | 96 | 6 | $\$ 50,000-59,999$ |
| 17.3 | 159 | 7 | $\$ 60,000-69,999$ |
| 32.3 | 296 | 8 | $\$ 70,000$ or more |
|  | 22 | 9 | DO NOT KNOW |
|  | --- |  |  |
|  | 1001 | cases |  |

Decimals: 0 Max: 8
Input location: 4/85

```
sample Sample source of Respondent
    PCT N VALUE LABEL
    26.3 263 1 S-45 Re-Interview
    73.7 738 2 S-46 New Respondent
        1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
    Decimals: 0 Max: 2
    Input location: 4/86
msue2005
        PCT N VALUE LABEL
        3.4 34 1 UP
        6.1 61 2 NORTH LP
        13.0 130 3 CENTRAL
        21.6 217 4 SOUTHWEST
        47.2 472 5 SOUTHEAST - City of Detroit
        8.7 87 6 Detroit
            1001 cases
        Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
        Decimals: 0 Max: 6
    Input location: 4/87
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
agecat4 & \multicolumn{3}{c}{ Rs age in 4 categories } \\
PCT & N & VALUE & LABEL \\
21.2 & 204 & 1 & \(18-29\) Yrs \\
31.4 & 302 & 2 & \(30-44 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
30.6 & 295 & 3 & \(45-64 \mathrm{Yrs}\) \\
16.9 & 163 & 4 & 65 or older \\
& 37 & 9 & REFUSED/missing \\
& --- & 1001 cases
\end{tabular}
    Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 9
    Decimals: 0 Max: 4
    Input location: 4/88
```

```
newadjwt Weight adj for phones adults race gender age within NEW regions
    1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 0.0043 MD Codes: 0.0000
    Decimals: 4 Max: 1.1651
    Input location: 4/90-95
msue2005wt weight by New MSU regions (Detroit in Reg 5)
    1001 cases
    Type: numeric Min: 0.0037 MD Codes: none
    Decimals: 4 Max: 1.2954
    Input location: 4/98-103
msue2005r5 New MSUE Region code (5 categories)
\begin{tabular}{rrrl} 
PCT & \(N\) & VALUE & LABEL \\
3.4 & 34 & 1 & UP \\
6.1 & 61 & 2 & NORTH \\
13.0 & 130 & 3 & CENTRAL \\
21.6 & 217 & 4 & SOUTHWEST \\
55.9 & 560 & 5 & SOUTHEAST \\
&.--- & & \\
& 1001 & cases &
\end{tabular}
Type: numeric Min: 1 MD Codes: 0
    Decimals: 0 Max: 5
    Input location: 4/105
```

